How cost-effective are mass media campaigns in tobacco control in developed countries?

A critical review of recent studies.

Monday 8th of July, IHEA Conference Sydney, Tobacco Demand session: 3.45 pm-5 pm

Authors: Frederieke S. van der Deen (presenting), Amber L. Pearson, Nick Wilson











Background

Tobacco control campaigns:

- Decreased smoking initiation
- Increased smoking cessation
- Continuous and sufficient level of exposure
- Strong emotion evoking campaigns
- Personal stories





Methods

- Review of recently published studies on the <u>cost-effectiveness</u> of <u>mass media campaigns</u> in <u>tobacco control</u>
- ➤ Three recent cost-effectiveness analyses (2007-2012)
- Quality and generalizability





The American Truth® Campaign

- ➤ Nation-wide 2000-2002
- Preventing smoking initiation 12-17 year olds
- Tobacco industry denormalisation
- Decline of 1.6% in youth smoking rate



The American Truth® Campaign

> Total cost: \$US 324 million

- Cost-effectiveness analysis:
 - Medical cost averted: US\$ 1.9 billion US\$ 5.4 billion
 - Pessimistic scenario: US\$ 4,000 per QALY saved
- Cost-saving

Reference: Holtgrave, D. R., Wunderink, K.A. et al. (2009). "Cost-utility analysis of the National truth campaign to prevent youth smoking." American Journal of Preventive Medicine **36**(5): 385-388.





The American EX® Campaign



- ➤ Nation-wide in 2008
- Smoking cessation adults
- Personal stories ex-smokers



The American EX® Campaign

> Total cost: \$US 314 million

- Cost-effectiveness analysis
 - > 4,238 additional quitters
 - >\$US 37,355 to \$US 81,301 per QALY saved

Cost-effective

Reference: Villanti, A. C., Curry, L.E. et al. (2012). "Analysis of media campaign promoting smoking cessation suggests it was cost-effective in prompting guit attempts." <u>Health Affairs</u> **31**(12): 2708-2716.





'Every cigarette is doing you damage' Campaign

- Nation-wide in Australia in 1997
- Smoking cessation adults
- 'Artery' video



'Every cigarette is doing you damage' Campaign

- ➤ Total cost 'phase 1': \$AUS 10 million
- Cost-effectiveness analysis
 - ➤ 190,000 quitters
 - ➤ 55,000 smoking-attributable deaths averted
 - ➤ Healthcare cost savings: \$AUS 741 million

Cost-saving

Reference: Hurley, S. F. and J. P. Matthews (2008). "Cost-effectiveness of the Australian National Tobacco Campaign." <u>Tobacco Control</u> **17**(6): 379-384.





Discussion (1)

- > Favourable from a health economic perspective
 - ➤ High upfront costs: limited adoption?
- ➤ Tobacco industry denormalisation
 - ➤ Low public profile of tobacco industry?



Discussion (2)

- ➤ Comparison across countries
 - ➤ Cost per capita measure
 - ➤ Overall costs of campaign
 - ➤ Medical costs saved related to smoking
 - ➤ Pessimistic and optimistic costing scenarios





Conclusions

- ➤ Cost-effective tobacco control intervention
- ➤ Need for more cost-effectiveness analyses
 - ➤ Complementary campaigns
- ➤ Cost benefits of Social Media?
 - ➤ 'Legend' campaign New Zealand





New Zealand

- ➤ Smoke-free goal for 2025
- > Evidence-based mix of tobacco control interventions
- > Tobacco control policies & intensive campaign



Thank you for your attention. Questions?

Website: http://www.otago.ac.nz/wellington/research/bode3/

Contact: frederieke.vanderdeen@otago.ac.nz



