Smokefree policies for *outdoor* public places:

What are the ethical issues?



World Congress on Public Health Melbourne, April 2017

George Thomson, Louise Delany, Nick Wilson

University of Otago, Wellington, NZ



Aims

For smokefree policies in outdoor public places:

- Assess the potential ethical issues
- Assess the benefits



- 1. Criticisms may lack nuance
- 2. Issues can be mitigated
- 3. Issues significantly outweighed by benefits



Potential issues



(1) Stigmatisation of smokers?

- Smokefree policies may mainly be perceived by smokers as:
 - Signalling that *smokers* are undesirable

Or

- Signalling that *smoking* is undesirable
- 'Dual stigmatisation' by smoking and poverty

Stigma from outdoor policies?



- 'may [create] a sense of powerlessness in people's ability to quit'

Kirsten Bell et al. Soc Health Ill 2010:32 (6)914–929



- 'denormalization raises .. ethical concerns'

James Colgrove et al. NEJM 2011:364;25



- '[consequences] may include stigma, humiliation, and
discrimination' Ronald Bayer et al. Health Affairs 2013; 32(7):1291-1298

Only survey found: smokers (75%) and non-smokers (69%)
 agreed that smokefree Vancouver parks would increase stigma

Stigma? Some responses

Do critics sufficiently recognise?

– the ambivalence about smoking by many smokers

Wilson et al. *Addict Behav*. 2013;38(2):1541-9 **Menniga** et al Br J Health Psychol. 2011;16(3):580-91

- the wish of most smokers to quit, and to have environmental constraints such as smokefree policies to help them quit and stay quit



Potential issues



(2) Unintended consequences

Any increased private *indoor* smoking?

• NO: Evidence from China, Wales, Spain, USA & 15 low/middle income countries indicates that *indoor or car* smokefree laws *do not* increase smoking in homes

Ye et al. BMC Public Health. 2015:29;15:982;

Moore et al. BMJ Open. 2015:30;5(1):e006914; Sureda et al PLoS One. 2014:27;9(2):e89430. Nazar et al. Prev Med. 2014;59:47-53. Cheng et al. Tob Control. 2015;24(2):168-74.

No studies found of the indoor effects of *outdoor* smokefree policies

Potential issues (3) Inequities from policies?

Issues with larger urban smokefree areas (streets, malls, parks, beaches):

- Less mobile smokers less able to get outside of smokefree areas (especially apartment dwellers)
- If smokefree policies are used as means to move homeless or unwanted groups away
- Issues when the responsibility focus is only on the smoker, rather than jurisdictions & venue managers

Need for policy implementation to be about helping smokers 7

Potential issues (4)

Harm to smokers from smokefree policies?

Reduction in:

- Autonomy reduced independence?
- Freedom of choice and activity?
- Ability to satisfy addiction?



Assessment

Nicotine addiction also reduces autonomy and choice

Benefits of smokefree outdoor policies

Reduction of:

- 1. Cues to smoke for those trying to quit or stay quit
- 2. The normalisation of smoking
 - Reinforces the place of smoking in a culture
 - Increases risk of smoking for youth



- 3. Secondhand smoke risks in some environments:
 - Over 10m from smokers Hwang et al. Nicotine Tob Res 2014;16:478-84
 - Drift inside from smoking at entrances and windows



More on benefits Smoker's right to quit

• 60-80% of smokers (USA, E Europe, Turkey, Thailand) want to quit

CDC. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;11;60(44):1513-9 Footman et al. Nicotine Tob Res 2013:15(9):1628-1633 Albayrak et al. J Addictions Nursing, 2015; 26 (1)41–46 Benjakul et al. BMC Public Health 2013, 13:277

Smoker approval of some outdoor policies

• USA & Canada: for smokefree child-related areas generally over 50%

Thomson et al. *Tob Control* 2016;25(5):506-16.

- Italy: support for smokefree school grounds 68%, hospital grounds 55% Gallus et al. Tob Control 2012:21:59e62
- France: 75% support for smokefree café outdoors

Increased ability to quit smoking because of *outdoor* smokefree policies

 Californian smokers in towns with smokefree park/patio laws are more likely to attempt quitting

Zablocki et al. Prev Med 2014;59:73-8

 Ontario smokefree bar/restaurant outdoor areas help smokers quit and not relapse

Chaiton et al *Tob Control* 2016;25(1):83-8



Discussion



- Ethical issues need to be mitigated by appropriate policy implementation
- Issues significantly outweighed by benefits, and this is seen by some smokers
- Response 'proportionate' to the scale of tobacco harm? (6% of lost DALYs worldwide)
- Need for more nuanced surveys re stigma and outdoor policies (similar to Stuber) Stuber et al. Soc Sci Med 2008;67:420-30

Contact: george.thomson@otago.ac.nz