



# Techno-economic assessments of scaled-up biodiesel production using meat processing dissolved air flotation sludge as feedstock

Oseweuba Valentine Okoro<sup>1</sup>, Zhifa Sun<sup>1\*</sup>, John Birch<sup>2</sup>

1<sub>Department of Physics, University of Otago, 2<sub>Department of Food Science, University of Otago \*Zhifa.sun@otago.ac.nz</sub></sub>

# INTRODUCTION

We identified meat processing waste dissolved air flotation (DAF) sludge as a sustainable biodiesel feedstock. Our recent results show that DAF lipids (DSL) could be converted to a biodiesel product that satisfied American and European biodiesel standards. It was however crucial to explore the technical and economic performance of a scaled-up biodiesel production plant as a basis for future large-scale application. Technical feasibility studies will provide useful data relating to biodiesel fuel yield and energy requirements for determining the renewability of the biodiesel production process while economic feasibility studies will generate useful costing data that will enable the assessment of the unit cost of the biodiesel production process. This presentation shows our results of the technical and economic feasibility analysis of a large-scale biodiesel production system using meat processing DAF sludge as the feedstock.

# **MATERIALS & METHODS**



Figure 1. Process intensification strategy for biodiesel production from meat processing DAF sludge.



## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

- o Process simulation using ASPEN plus ® V10 process simulator.
- o Important thermophysical properties of the DSL modelled using chemical constituent fragment method.
- The microporous resin catalysed in-situ hydrolysis for enhanced fatty acid generation,

 $DSL + water \xrightarrow{catalyst} Glycerol + DFA$ 

was modelled using our published experimental data.

• The secondary esterification reaction,

# **MATERIALS & METHODS**

• Economic assessments were undertaking by considering the unit biodiesel production cost(C) as follows,

$$C = \frac{C_{AECC} + C_{AOC}}{P_c}$$

$$C_{AECC} = I_t \times \left[ \frac{(1+i)^n \times i}{(1+i)^n - 1} \right]$$
  $I_t = 1.81 \times E_{ISBL}$  and  $E_{ISBL} = f_L \sum_{i}^{n} Cost_{2017,i}$ 

o Major equipment purchase cost was estimated using standard mapping, sizing, and costing algorithms within Aspen Process Economic Analyser, such that 2017 costs were estimated using the CEPCI value for 2017 as follows,

Figure 2. A simplified flow chart for the in-situ hydroesterification process for biodiesel production from meat processing DAF sludge.

DFA+ Methanol  $\leftrightarrow$  DSME + water

was modelled based on the estimated kinetic behaviour of the DAF fatty acid (DFA) and methanol mixture according to the following relation.

 $r_{e} = -\frac{d\left[DFA\right]}{dt} = \frac{d\left[DSME\right]}{dt} = k_{f}\left[DFA\right]^{n}\left[methanol\right]^{m} - k_{b}\left[DSME\right]^{i}\left[water\right]^{j}$ 

where DSME is the DAF sludge biodiesel product.

o Renewability assessment of the biodiesel production process was undertaken using the net energy balance ratio (NER) as a surrogate measure of sustainability as follows,

**RESULTS** 

$$NER = \frac{HHV_b \times P_c}{\sum_{i}^{n} E_{f,i}}$$

$$Cost_{2017} = Cost_{2016} \left( \frac{CEPCI_{2017}}{CEPCI_{2016}} \right)$$
  
o Operational costs were estimated by considering existing commercial price estimates.

where,

o Uncertainty considerations were incorporated using Monte Carlo simulations for 100,000 randomisation runs for the parameter determinants. Triangular probability distribution was

utilised in line with previous studies in the area.

• Finally the sensitivities of the renewability performance and the economic performance to variations in their respective determinants were also explored.

## RESULTS



Figure 4. ASPEN simulation model output of in-situ hydroesterification of DAF sludge.

## RESULTS



Figure 3. Estimated thermophysical properties of the DSL [A, B and C] and the vapour pressure of the DAF fatty acids (DEA) [D].

The determined molecular formula of DSL is determined as follows,

#### $[(CH_2COO)_2CHCOO](CH=CH)(CH_2)_{44}(CH_3)_3$



### ■ Decrease of 50% □ Increase of 50% Efficiency of DFA Efficiency of resin recovery DAF sludge feed available -40 -20 0 entage change in the NER ■ Decrease 50% □ Increase 50% Interest rate Poject lifespan Operating cost Annualise DAF sludge available -100 -50 150 50 100 Percentage change in the unit production

## CONCLUSIONS

- The energetic performance of the biodiesel production process using DAF sludge as feed stock is always favorable (within the range considered).
- o The mean unit production cost of US\$ 1.01/kgbiodiesel is considerably cheaper than existing unit production costs.
- o It may be more economically prudent to transport additional sludge at the expense of an increase in the operating cost if onsite supply is insufficient.
- o The biodiesel production process will benefit from economics of scale.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Oseweuba Valentine Okoro gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the University of Otago via the Otago Doctoral scholarship.