The R package \textit{openCR} fits both non-spatial and spatial capture–recapture models to data from open animal populations, where there is turnover during sampling. Modelling of movement between sessions is experimental in this version. The interface generally resembles that of \textit{secr} (Efford 2018a) upon which \textit{openCR} depends for some functions. This document explains the purpose and general features of \textit{openCR}. Help pages should be consulted for more detail on particular functions. Worked examples using published datasets are given in another vignette \textit{openCR-examples.pdf}, and simulation-based examples are in a further vignette \textit{openCR-simulations.pdf}. Some bugs should be expected in the initial release. A paper describing the spatial model has been submitted (Efford 2018b).

\section{Outline}

\subsection{Model types}
\textit{openCR} fits non-spatial open-population models of the Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) and Jolly-Seber-Schwarz-Arnason (JSSA\textsuperscript{2} or ‘POPAN’) types. JSSA models are offered in both full and conditional likelihood forms, each with several parameterizations of recruitment, and incorporating Pollock’s robust design. Pradel analyses are also provided.

Spatial versions of the CJS and JSSA model types are also provided\textsuperscript{3}. The spatial models allow for ‘multi’, ‘proximity’ or ‘count’ detectors as defined in \textit{secr}. Several functions are implemented for the decline in hazard of detection with distance. Movement between primary sessions may be modelled (cf Ergon and Gardner 2014), but this feature is experimental.

\textsuperscript{1}These supplementary vignettes are not included with the package. It is intended to distribute them on the website www.otago.ac.nz/density. Otherwise contact the author.

\textsuperscript{2}As far as I know, this abbreviation was first used by Pledger et al. (2010). Recognising the contributions of Crosbie and Manly, Schofield and Barker (2000) and Cowen et al. (2010) referred to it the Crosbie-Manly-Arnason-Schwarz (CMAS) model. Link and Barker (2010) used ‘Crosbie-Manly-Schwarz-Arnason’ (CMSA) for the same model. CMSA has since been used by various authors, including Schofield and Barker (2016). JSSA is used in \textit{openCR} because this highlights its evolution from the widely known Jolly-Seber model. POPAN refers to the software of Schwarz and Arnason (1996), recycled as the name of a data type in MARK.

\textsuperscript{3}The utility of the spatial CJS model type (CJS\textsuperscript{secr}) is in doubt because the distribution of detected animals is not uniform at first detection, but rather biased towards the vicinity of the detectors.
1.2 Data

Data are assumed to be from a robust design. Secondary sampling sessions are nested within primary sessions and all turnover (births, deaths, immigration or emigration) is between primary sessions (Pollock 1982). There may be a single secondary session per primary session (this limits identifiability of some parameters).

1.3 Model specification and fitting

Models are specified using formula notation as in secr. Possible predictors include both pre-defined variables for learned responses, trend over time, etc., and user-provided covariates. Models are fitted by numerically maximizing the log likelihood. The likelihood is formed as a product over capture histories (Pledger et al. 2010) rather than from summary statistics. The fitted model is an object of class ‘openCR’ for which generic methods are implemented (print, predict, AIC, plot etc.).

Variation in a parameter between primary sessions is modelled as e.g., \( \text{model = } \phi \sim \text{session} \). Within-session variation in detection parameters may also be modelled (see field vole example in openCR-examples.pdf).

1.4 Parameterization

A selection of parameterizations is offered for recruitment in JSSA models. Models can also be parameterized in terms of the time-specific population size (non-spatial models) or density (spatial models), avoiding the super-population parameter.

Super-population size (or density in the case of secr models) may be computed as a derived parameter from ‘CL’ models with the function derived(), which also computes time-specific population sizes and densities.

1.5 Features and limitations

openCR has definite limitations that may or may not be addressed in future versions. Important differences between secr and openCR are noted here. Online help is not guaranteed: users should attempt to solve their own problems, or seek help from other users via phidot or secrgroup.

2 Dipper example

We start with a simple nonspatial example. Lebreton et al. (1992) demonstrated Cormack-Jolly-Seber methods with a dataset on European Dipper (Cinclus cinclus) collected by Marzolin (1988). The object dipperCH distributed with openCR provides these data in the secr ‘capthist’ format. See the Examples section of its help page ?dipperCH for code to input the data from other sources.

```
library(openCR) # also loads secr
options(digits = 4, width = 90) # for more readable output
```

Dippers were captured annually over 1981–1987.

```
m.array(dipperCH, never.recap = T) # compare Lebreton et al. 1992 Table 10
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4This is equivalent of –t in Lebreton et al. (1992) or –time in RMark, and openCR recognises –t as a synonym of –session.
We can fit a Cormack-Jolly-Seber model directly with `openCR.fit` and display the estimates:

```r
dipper.phi.t <- openCR.fit(dipperCH, type = 'CJS', model = phi ~ t)
predict(dipper.phi.t)
```

```r
## $p
## # session estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## # 1981 1 NA NA NA NA
## # 1982 2 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
## # 1983 3 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
## # 1984 4 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
## # 1985 5 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
## # 1986 6 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
## # 1987 7 0.9021 0.02906 0.8286 0.9461
##
## $phi
## # session estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## # 1981 1 0.6258 0.11165 0.3965 0.8098
## # 1982 2 0.4542 0.06662 0.3295 0.5849
## # 1983 3 0.4784 0.05845 0.3669 0.5921
## # 1984 4 0.6244 0.05703 0.5079 0.7281
## # 1985 5 0.6079 0.05483 0.4970 0.7088
## # 1986 6 0.5833 0.05721 0.4688 0.6895
## # 1987 7 NA NA NA NA
```

```r
plot(dipper.phi.t, par = 'phi', ylim = c(0,1), pch = 16, col = 'red')
```

From this example you can see some of the virtues of `openCR`

- accessible data summaries
3 A brief survey of open population capture–recapture models

There is a large literature on open-population capture–recapture modelling. Almost all modern models derive from the Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) or Jolly-Seber (JS) models (Seber 1982), with refinements by Crosbie and Manly (1985), Schwarz and Arnason (1996), Pradel (1996) and others. The MARK software (White and Burnham 1999) implemented many of these developments and remains the standard. This section describes differences among models as they relate to openCR.

3.1 CJS vs JS

The split between the CJS and JS model lineages is fundamental. CJS models do not model the first capture of each animal; they condition on that capture and model subsequent recapture probabilities \( p \) and apparent survival \( \phi \). CJS estimates of apparent survival are robust and useful (Lebreton et al. 1992), but CJS models stop short of estimating abundance, recruitment or population trend.

JS models model the first capture of each animal, and lead either directly or indirectly to estimates of abundance and recruitment. The modern development of JS methods rests heavily on Schwarz and Arnason (1996), so openCR follows Pledger et al. (2010) in using the label ‘JSSA’. JSSA models were the basis of the POP AN software, which led to the POPAN data type in MARK. JSSA models are the main focus of openCR.

3.2 Parameterization of recruitment in JSSA models

The JSSA model appears in several different forms whose unity is obscured by differing parameterizations of recruitment. The classic POPAN formulation uses entry probabilities: the members of a notional superpopulation enter the population with time-specific probability \( \beta_j \) (PENT in MARK), an idea from Crosbie and Manly (1985). Other parameterizations are

- number of new entrants at each time \( j \)
- per capita fecundity (new entrants at time \( j \) scaled by 1/number in population at \( j - 1 \))
- seniority (reverse-time survival Pradel 1996, Nichols 2016)
- population growth rate \( \lambda \)
- (relative) number in population at each time \( j \)

Estimates of recruitment or implied recruitment from any one of these six parameterizations can be used to infer the others\(^5\). The choice of parameterization rests on which is more natural for the problem in hand (and allows the desired constraints to be applied) and on practicalities (some are more likely to give numerical problems than others).


\(^{5}\)except for some mostly trivial differences relating to removals
3.3 Conditional vs full likelihood JSSA

For each JSSA recruitment parameterization there is a choice between models that include the total number of detected individuals (\( u \) or \( n \) in different notations), and models that condition on this number. Conditional-likelihood models do not directly estimate abundance; abundance is estimated as a derived parameter (Schwarz and Arnason 1996). Full-likelihood models include abundance as a parameter. The choice of formulation has virtually no effect on the parameter estimates\(^6\). The conditional likelihood form is somewhat faster and easier to fit (Schwarz and Arnason 1996), and it focuses on parameters that are estimated robustly (apparent survival, seniority, population growth rate).

3.4 Sufficient statistics vs capture histories

Historically the CJS and JS likelihoods have been expressed in terms of ‘sufficient statistics’ that are time-specific counts of animals in different categories, such as the number caught, the number marked etc. This approach is used in the openCR function JS.direct and with the Pradel model type in openCR.fit. The likelihood may also be computed as a product over terms, one for each observed capture history\(^7\). Modelling of individual capture histories, is slower, but it is extremely flexible, allowing direct inclusion of censoring, learned responses, individual covariates, secondary sessions and other extensions. This is the approach used in MARK and openCR.fit.

3.5 Robust design

Most published formulations of CJS and JSSA models admit only one secondary session per primary session. Data collected according to a robust design with multiple secondary sessions must be collapsed to a single sample per primary session. However, it is simple to adapt the capture-history models for multiple secondary occasions, and this makes better use of the data. MARK offers many specific robust design models. A robust design is assumed in openCR; data with a single secondary session per primary session are merely a special case.

3.6 Spatial vs nonspatial

Models may be spatially explicit or not. Nonspatial models ignore the spatial distribution of animals. Spatial models use the spatially explicit capture–recapture paradigm of Efford (2004), Borchers and Efford (2008) and Royle et al. (2014). Open population spatial models using MCMC were published by Gardner et al. (2010), Chandler and Clark (2014), Ergon and Gardner (2014), Whittington and Sawaya (2015) and others. There are three major motivations for open spatial models

- allowance for varying extent of sampling area
- modelling of individual heterogeneity due to differential access to detectors
- separation of emigration and mortality

openCR fits spatial analogues of CJS and JSSA models by maximizing the likelihood. The abundance parameter is density \( D \) (animals per hectare) rather than population size \( N \).

Recruitment in spatial models may be modelled using parameterizations to those described above for non-spatial models, replacing ‘number’ by ‘density’. The locations at which animals recruit are not modelled.

\(^6\)this may not be true for spatial models with spatially varying density, but these models are not considered in openCR.

\(^7\)strictly, the product over observed histories is only one component of the likelihood.
3.7 Home-range shifts between primary sessions

By definition, the interval between primary sessions is long enough for turnover due to births and deaths. It is also possible that resident animals shift their home ranges (i.e. disperse). Spatial models may either ignore such movement (Gardner et al. 2010, Chandler and Clark 2014, Whittington and Sawaya 2015) or attempt to model it (Ergon and Gardner 2014). There are good arguments for modelling movement:

- Movement that is ignored inflates estimates of the within-session scale of detection $\sigma$, and therefore results in downward bias in estimates of density.
- If the distribution of dispersal distances can be inferred from the detection histories of residents then it is possible in principle to separate actual mortality from losses due emigration (Ergon and Gardner 2014). However, the robustness and data requirements of movement models have yet to be researched.

4 Data structure and input

Data should be provided to openCR.fit as secr ‘capthist’ objects. The occasions of a single-session dataset are treated as open-population temporal samples. For spatial analyses, the capthist object should use a point detector type (‘multi’, ‘proximity’ or ‘count’).

openCR mostly uses the terminology of primary and secondary sessions (Pollock 1982) rather than ‘session’ and ‘occasions’ as in secr. Where ‘session’ appears without qualifier it refers to a primary session composed of one or more secondary sessions.

The optional intervals attribute of the capthist object defines the structure. If intervals are not specified then they default to 1.0 and each occasion is treated as a primary session. If intervals are specified then some may be zero; occasions separated by ‘zero’ intervals are treated as secondary sessions within the same primary session, as in MARK.

A multi-session capthist object will be converted automatically to a single-session object using function secr::join. An appropriate intervals attribute is constructed, using the intervals attribute of the multi-session object for the intervals between primary sessions (1.0 if not specified), and setting other intervals to zero.

To construct your own capthist objects –

1. Consult secr-datainput.pdf, or
2. Convert a dataframe in RMark input format using secr::unRMarkInput, or
3. Read a MARK .inp input file with read.inp.

Examples of data input code also appear on the help pages for data objects FebpossumCH, fieldvoleCH, microtusCH and dipperCH.

5 Model types

The various models available in openCR are named to encode the distinctions made in the ‘Brief survey’. Names are formed by concatenating four components:

1. ‘CJS’ vs ‘JSSA’
2. Spatial (‘secr’) vs non-spatial (default, blank)
4. JSSA likelihood conditional (‘CL’) vs full (default, blank)

Thus ‘JSSAsceclfCL’ is a spatial JSSA model parameterized in terms of per capita recruitment $f$ and fitted by maximizing the conditional likelihood (a spatial version of Link and Barker (2005), minus parameter covariation). Any movement model is specified separately with the ‘movementmodel’ argument of openCR.fit.
Parameters vary with the type of model, as listed below. Each of these primary parameters (‘real’ parameters in MARK) may also be modelled as a linear combination of predictors on a suitable link scale, allowing the inclusion of covariates and constraints. The coefficients of the parameter-specific linear combinations are called ‘beta’ parameters in MARK; the likelihood is maximized with respect to the concatenated list of beta parameters.

5.1 Non-spatial openCR models

5.1.1 Parameters and model types

Table 1. Parameter definitions and default link functions (nonspatial models)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Link</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>logit</td>
<td>capture probability (recapture probability for CJS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phi*</td>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>logit</td>
<td>apparent survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>mlogit</td>
<td>entry probability cf PENT in MARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f*</td>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>per capita recruitment rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gamma*</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>logit</td>
<td>seniority (Pradel 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambda*</td>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>population growth rate (finite rate of increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superN</td>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>superpopulation size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>( B_N )</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>number of entrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>( N_j )</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>time-specific population size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* parameters marked with an asterisk are scaled by the interval between primary sessions.

Table 2. Parameters of nonspatial openCR models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>phi</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>gamma</th>
<th>lambda</th>
<th>superN</th>
<th>BN</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAbCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAfCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAgCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAICL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAb</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAf</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAg</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAl</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAN</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2 Non-spatial models using sufficient statistics

openCR mostly fits models by modelling capture histories one-by-one. An alternative faster method is to evaluate the likelihood expressed in terms of sufficient statistics. Sufficient statistics vary among models, but they are typically counts such as provided by the function `JS.counts`. The ‘sufficient statistics’ approach is not compatible with individual covariates. The non-spatial model types ‘Pradel’ and ‘Pradelg’ are implemented in openCR using sufficient statistics (Pradel 1996) and therefore fall outside the main framework (Table 2). They correspond to ‘JSSAICL’ and ‘JSSAgCL’ respectively, and estimate the same parameters as those models. Estimates should coincide except when there are losses on capture. ‘Pradel’ is parameterized in terms of population growth rate (lambda) and ‘Pradelg’ is parameterized in terms of seniority (gamma).
Additionally, the function \texttt{JS.direct} computes classic Jolly–Seber estimates using the sufficient statistics.

### 5.2 Spatial openCR models

Table 3. Parameter definitions and default link functions (spatial models)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Link</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda_0)</td>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>detection function intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma)</td>
<td>(\sigma)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>detection function scale (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>detection function shape parameter (HHR, HAN, HCG, HVP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\phi)</td>
<td>(\phi)</td>
<td>logit</td>
<td>apparent survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>mlogit</td>
<td>entry probability (beta)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>per capita recruitment rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>logit</td>
<td>seniority (Pradel 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>population growth rate (finite rate of increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>superpopulation density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_D)</td>
<td>(B_D)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>entrants per hectare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_j)</td>
<td>(D_j)</td>
<td>log</td>
<td>time-specific population density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* parameters marked with an asterisk are scaled by the interval between primary sessions.

Table 4. Parameters of spatial \texttt{openCR} models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>lambda0</th>
<th>sigma</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>phi</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>gamma</th>
<th>lambda</th>
<th>superD</th>
<th>BD</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJSsecr</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrbCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrgCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrb</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrg</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAsecrD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secrCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secrD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6 Model formulae

Formulæ define a linear model for each ‘real’ parameter (\(p\), \(\phi\), \(\sigma\) etc.) on the link scale (logit, log etc.). Alternative link functions not shown in Tables 1 and 3 are ‘loglog’ and ‘sin’, both as defined in MARK.

The default linear combination for each parameter is a constant, null model (~1, parameter constant over time, unaffected by individual differences etc.). To include other effects build formulæ using either predefined (built-in) predictors listed here, or the names of covariates.
### 6.1 Built-in predictors

Table 5. Built-in predictors (‘sessions’ refers to primary sessions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>session</td>
<td>all except ‘superN’, ‘superD’</td>
<td>Factor, one level per primary session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>all except ‘superN’, ‘superD’</td>
<td>synonym of ‘session’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session</td>
<td>all except ‘superN’, ‘superD’</td>
<td>Continuous time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>p, phi, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>learned response (persists across sessions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>p, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>detector-specific transient (Markovian) response across sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bk</td>
<td>p, phi, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>detector-specific learned response (persists across sessions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bsession</td>
<td>p, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>learned response within sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bsession</td>
<td>p, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>transient (Markovian) response within sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bsession</td>
<td>p, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>detector-specific learned response within sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bksession</td>
<td>p, lambda0, sigma</td>
<td>detector-specific transient (Markovian) response within sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h2</td>
<td>all except abundance</td>
<td>2-class finite mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h3</td>
<td>all except abundance</td>
<td>3-class finite mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>all except abundance</td>
<td>age factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>all except abundance</td>
<td>linear effect on age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age2</td>
<td>all except abundance</td>
<td>linear effect on age$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences among the various learned responses may be understood by examining their effect on the parameter index array (PIA). This table illustrates the PIA slice corresponding to an individual with the non-spatial detection history shown (4 primary sessions, each of 4 secondary sessions). The values ‘1’ and ‘2’ refer to different parameter combinations, most commonly to levels of lambda0.

Detection history : 0100 0000 0000 0100

| ~bsession | 1122 1111 1111 1122 | persistent within primary session |
| ~Bsession | 1121 1111 1111 1121 | transient within primary session |
| ~b        | 1122 2222 2222 2222 | persistent |
| ~B        | 1122 2222 1111 1122 | transient across primary sessions |

IMPORTANT NOTE: Learned response predictors (‘b’, ‘bsession’ etc.) were re-defined in openCR 1.3.0. Models fitted with earlier versions should be re-fitted.

### 6.2 User-provided covariates

The rules for covariates largely follow secr (secr-overview.pdf). Covariates may be at the level of primary session, secondary session (detection parameters only), individual (CL models only), or detector (spatial models only). Further complexity may be modelled by providing custom design data cutting across these categories (see below).

Individual and detector covariates are named columns in the ‘covariates’ attributes of the respective capthist and traps object. Covariate names should differ from the built-in predictors (Table 5).

Primary session covariates are provided to openCR.fit in the argument ‘sessioncov’, rather than associated with a data object. If ‘sessioncov’ is a vector (length equal to number of primary sessions) rather than a dataframe then it may be referenced as ‘scov’ in model formulae.
Covariates for detection parameters in secondary sessions are provided in the ‘timecov’ argument; if ‘timecov’ is a vector (length equal to total number of secondary sessions) rather than a dataframe then it may be referenced as ‘tcov’ in model formulae.

7 More on modelling

7.1 Closed populations

The types ‘secrD’ and ‘secrCL’ cause openCR.fit to treat the data as if from a closed population (no mortality, no recruitment, no movement); the intervals attribute is ignored. openCR 1.3 fits simple closed models faster than secr 3.1. This surprising result is due to multithreading, improved coding, and avoidance of some modelling complexity.

```r
msk <- make.mask(traps(captdata), buffer = 100, type = 'trapbuffer')
secr <- secr.fit(captdata, detectfn = 'HHN', mask = msk, trace = FALSE)
openCR <- openCR.fit(captdata, detectfn = 'HHN', mask = msk, type = 'secrD')

# massage the predict.openCR results to the same format as predict.secr
pred_openCR <- plyr::rbind.fill(predict(openCR))[,c(2,1,3)]
rownames(pred_openCR) <- secr$realnames

# compare estimates
predict(secr)
## estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## D 5.485 0.64703 4.356 6.9058
## lambda0 0.307 0.03413 0.247 0.3815
## sigma 28.764 1.30055 26.326 31.4283

pred_openCR
## estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## D 5.485 0.64479 4.356 6.9058
## lambda0 0.307 0.03403 0.247 0.3815
## sigma 28.764 1.29988 26.326 31.4283

# compare timings in seconds
secr.elapsed openCR.elapsed
## 17.57 6.24
```

The maximised log likelihoods differ because openCR does not include the multinomial constant. secr has function `logmultinom` that lets us add it back:

```r
# compare maximised log likelihoods
secr.logLik = logLik(secr), openCR.logLik = logLik(openCR) + logmultinom(captdata)

## estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## D 5.485 0.64479 4.356 6.9058
## lambda0 0.307 0.03403 0.247 0.3815
## sigma 28.764 1.29988 26.326 31.4283
```
7.2 Finite mixtures

Two- and three-class finite mixtures (h2, h3) allow for individual heterogeneity in detection and turnover parameters (Pledger et al. 2003, 2010). Using one of these predictors in a formula causes a further real parameter ‘pmix’ to be added. pmix is the proportion in latent mixture class 2 for h2, and the proportions in classes 2 and 3 for h3 (the proportion in class 1 is obtained by subtracting from 1). The implementation in openCR assumes that class membership applies across all parameters. The posterior probabilities of class membership for all detected individuals are returned as the ‘posterior’ component of the fitted model.

Finite mixture likelihoods are prone to multimodality. Misleading estimates result when the numerical maximization settles on a local maximum (see also [secr-finitemixtures.pdf]).

7.3 Age

If age is modelled as a factor then it is useful to group older animals in a maximum age class (‘maximumage’). Animals are assumed to be first caught at age zero unless ‘initialage’ is specified. ‘maximumage’ and ‘initialage’ are optional components of the ‘details’ argument of ‘openCR.fit’. ‘initialage’ can name an individual covariate to avoid the assumption that all animals are the same age at first detection.

For a quadratic relationship with age, specify an additive model with both Age and Age2 terms (e.g., model = phi ~ Age + Age2).

7.4 Sampling intervals

We have seen the role of the intervals attribute in defining primary and secondary sessions. Between-session intervals need to be specified only if they vary, or if you would like rates (phi, gamma, lambda, f) to be reported in time units other than the (implicitly constant) sampling interval. Scaling from the standardised parameter $\theta_j$ to the interval-specific value $\theta'_j$ uses $\theta'_j = \theta_j T_j$ where $\theta_j$ is one of $\phi_j$ or $\lambda_j$, and $T_j$ is the duration of interval $j$.

Scaling $\gamma$ follows the same pattern except that the relevant duration for $\gamma_j$ is $T_{j-1}$. Scaling per capita recruitment $f_j$ is more tricky. We use $f'_j = (\phi_j + f_j)T_j - \phi_j T_j$.

7.5 Custom design data

Occasionally there is a need for covariates that do not relate specifically to individuals, sessions or detectors, and are not included as canned predictors. For this you must construct your own dataframe of design data and pass it as the ‘dframe’ argument of openCR.fit. Design data are used as input to the model.matrix function (the ‘data’ argument); model.matrix generates the design matrix for each real parameter. Design data are usually constructed internally in openCR.fit from named covariates and other predictors that appear in model formulae; if ‘dframe’ is provided then the internally constructed design data are added as extra columns, overwriting any custom columns of the same name. The same design dataframe is used for all parameters.

Constructing ‘dframe’ is fiddly. The dataframe should have one row for each combination of unique capture history, secondary session, detector and latent class (mixture). For nonspatial models without finite mixtures this collapses to one row for each capture history and secondary session. The order of rows follows that of the elements in an array with dimensions $(n, S, K, X)$ for $n$ unique capture histories, $S$ secondary sessions, $K$ detectors and $X$ latent classes$^8$. The secr function insertdim can help to expand data into the correct row order.

---

$^8$This rectangular (or cuboidal) configuration includes cells that are redundant and unused for a particular model type (e.g., cells corresponding to sessions at or before first capture in CJS models). However, the full complement of rows is required in dframe.
A warning: by default `openCR.fit` replaces the input capthist with a more compact version using only unique capture histories (the number of each is kept in the individual covariate ‘freq’; see the function `squeeze`). Design data are in terms of the ‘squeezed’ capture histories.

In this example we define a function to construct custom design data for a learned response.

```r
makedf.b <- function (ch, spatial = FALSE, nmix = 1, naive = FALSE) {
 ch <- squeeze(ch)
 # Construct matrix of logical values TRUE iff caught before
detected <- apply(abs(ch),1:2,sum)>0
if (naive)
  b <- rep(FALSE, prod(dim(ch)[1:2]))
else
  b <- t(apply(detected,1,function(x) {x[which.max(x)] <- FALSE; x}))
# More generally:
n <- nrow(ch)
S <- ncol(ch)
K <- if (spatial) dim(ch)[3] else 1
data.frame(customb = insertdim(b, 1:2, c(n,S,K,nmix)))
}
```

Now compare the result with the canned predictor ‘b’ for a persistent learned response.

```r
ovenj <- join(ovenCH)
fitb <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = p ~ b)
fitbc <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = p ~ customb, dframe = makedf.b(ovenj))
AIC(fitb, fitbc)
```

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>npar</th>
<th>rank</th>
<th>logLik</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>dAIC</th>
<th>AICwt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fitb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-254.6</td>
<td>515.2</td>
<td>515.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fitbc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-254.6</td>
<td>515.2</td>
<td>515.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our custom model gives exactly the same result as the canned predictor ‘b’ when type = ‘CJS’ because the precise secondary session of first capture is irrelevant for CJS models (recaptures are modelled only for subsequent primary sessions unless `details$CJSp1 == TRUE`).

Discrepancies can arise with non-CJS models because these account for animals never detected. The corresponding likelihood component uses a distinct design matrix for a ‘naive’ animal. To customize non-CJS models a separate dframe should be provided that applies to naive animals:

```r
fitb2 <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = p ~ b, type = 'JSSAfCL', start = fitb)
fitbc2 <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = p ~ customb, type = 'JSSAfCL',
  dframe = makedf.b(ovenj), dframe0 = makedf.b(ovenj, naive = TRUE))
AIC(fitb2, fitbc2)
```

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>npar</th>
<th>rank</th>
<th>logLik</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>dAIC</th>
<th>AICwt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fitb2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-661.2</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.5155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fitbc2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-661.3</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.4845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.6 Transience

An ad hoc adjustment for transience may be programmed as follows (cf Pradel et al. 1997).

```r
makedf.resident <- function (ch, spatial = FALSE, nmix = 1) {
 ch <- squeeze(ch)
}
```
A simpler approach is to code an individual covariate that scores whether an individual was detected in more than one primary session.

```r
addresidentcov <- function (ch) {
  primary <- primarysessions(intervals(ch))
  detected <- apply(abs(ch), 1:2, sum) > 0
  nprimary <- apply(detected, 1, function(x) length(unique(primary[x])))
  covariates(ch) <- data.frame(residentcov = nprimary > 1)
  ch
}
```

Results are identical:

```r
ovenj <- join(ovenCH)
ovenj <- addresidentcov(ovenj)
fitnull <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = phi ~ 1)
fitcov <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = phi ~ residentcov)
fitdf <- openCR.fit(ovenj, model = phi ~ resident, dframe = makedf.resident(ovenj))
fits <- openCRlist(fitnull, fitcov, fitdf)
AIC(fits)
```

```r
## model npar rank logLik AIC AICc dAIC AICwt
## fitcov p~1 phi~residentcov 3 2 -225.8 457.6 458.0 0.00 0.5
## fitdf p~1 phi~resident 3 2 -225.8 457.6 458.0 0.00 0.5
## fitnull p~1 phi~1 2 2 -254.6 513.2 513.4 55.56 0.0
```

```r
pred <- predict(fits, newdata = data.frame(resident = TRUE, residentcov = TRUE))
do.call(rbind, lapply(pred, '[', 'phi'))
```

```r
## resident residentcov estimate SE.estimate lcl ucl
## fitnull TRUE TRUE 0.4630 0.05473 0.3590 0.5703
## fitcov TRUE TRUE 0.7387 0.06112 0.6031 0.8402
## fitdf TRUE TRUE 0.7387 0.06112 0.6031 0.8402
```

Hines et al. (2003) suggested extending the definition of residence to include animals captured at least \(d\) days apart within a primary session; either of the approaches here may be modified accordingly. Here is the code for two individual covariates:

```r
addresidentcov2 <- function (ch, d = 1) {
  primary <- primarysessions(intervals(ch))
  secondary <- secondarysessions(intervals(ch))
  detected <- apply(abs(ch), 1:2, sum) > 0
  nprimary <- apply(detected, 1, function(x) length(unique(primary[x])))
  dsecondary <- apply(detected, 1, function(x) 
    max(by(secondary[x], primary[x], function(y) diff(range(y)))))
  covariates(ch) <- data.frame(residentcov1 = nprimary > 1, 
    residentcov2 = nprimary > 1 | dsecondary >= d)
  ch
}
```
7.7 Factor coding

Factor predictors take a number of discrete values (levels). These are usually represented by columns of 0’s and 1’s in the design matrix, where the number of columns (and coefficients) relates to the number of levels. The default in R is to use ‘treatment contrasts’: one coefficient describes a reference class (level) and other coefficients represent the effect size (difference from the reference class on the link scale). By default the first level is used as the reference: for time effects (t, session) the first primary session is the reference level.

This may lead to trouble if the parameter is not identifiable in the reference class. One workaround is to specify a session covariate with differently ordered levels. Another is to switch from treatment contrasts to dummy variable coding in which each coefficient represents the magnitude of one real parameter on the link scale (useful in itself). Dummy variable coding is achieved by overriding the default contrasts and removing the intercept from the formula (-1). The following model fits yield the same estimates of ‘real’ parameters and the same log-likelihood, but with different ‘beta’ parameters:

```r
fit0 <- openCR.fit(ovenCH, model = p ~ t)
contr.none <- function(n) contrasts(factor(1:n), contrasts = FALSE)
fitd <- openCR.fit(ovenCH, model = p ~ -1 + t,
                  details = list(contrasts = list(t = contr.none)))
coef(fit0)
## beta SE.beta lcl ucl
## p -1.54954 0.2459 -2.0316 -1.0675
## p.t3 0.32964 0.3280 -0.3133 0.9725
## p.t4 -1.42725 0.5259 -2.4581 -0.3964
## p.t5 -0.14372 0.4489 -1.0236 0.7361
## phi -0.03141 0.2399 -0.5016 0.4388
coef(fitd)
## beta SE.beta lcl ucl
## p.t2 -1.54955 0.2459 -2.0316 -1.0675
## p.t3 -1.21990 0.2188 -1.6487 -0.7911
## p.t4 -2.97677 0.4663 -3.8907 -2.0628
## p.t5 -1.69324 0.3783 -2.4347 -0.9518
## phi -0.03143 0.2399 -0.5016 0.4388
```

7.8 Mean of a parameter across levels of a factor

Suppose you wish to estimate the average of a parameter across levels of a factor such as time (session). Cooch and White (2017 Section 6.15) advocate modifying the design matrix so that one beta parameter (coefficient) relates directly to the mean. This is achieved very simply in openCR.fit by setting the contrast function for the factor to contr.sum in the details argument. With the resulting factor coding the first coefficient corresponds to the mean. Applying this to estimate the average time-specific survival rate for the dippers assuming constant recapture probability:

```r
fit <- openCR.fit(dipperCH, model = phi ~ t, details = list(contrasts = list(t = contr.sum)))
invlogit(coef(fit)['phi',c('beta','lcl','ucl')])
```

---

9This does not apply for times when a parameter can never be estimated – for example, openCR understands that seniority (gamma) is not estimated for the first session and uses the second session for the reference level.

10This also works in secr.fit.

11Helmert contrasts (contr.helmert) also yield the mean as the first coefficient, but the coding is more obscure.
The mean is backtransformed from the link scale. This results in some bias owing to the nonlinearity of link functions other than the identity function. Cooch and White take the position that the bias is often ignorable.

8 Movement models

Potential movement of home ranges between primary sessions (= dispersal) is a critical part of open-population models. The argument movementmodel of openCR.fit allows the possibilities in Table 6. Two of these do not model movement at all. The default ‘static’ is a null model in which each animal retains the same home range. The ‘uncorrelated’ option models the locations of an animal independently in each primary session; information is sacrificed and no particular movement model is implied.

The remaining options (normal, exponential and user-supplied function) fit a dispersal kernel (Nathan et al. 2012) to represent movement between primary sessions. This usually requires at least one more parameter to represent the spatial scale of dispersal. The likelihood for spatially explicit capture–recapture with movement is outlined in the Appendix and will be published separately.

Table 6. Models for movement between primary sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement model</th>
<th>Parameter(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>static</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>Centres constant across primary sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncorrelated</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>Centres unconstrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>move.a</td>
<td>Centres shift randomly between sessions according to Gaussian kernel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponential</td>
<td>move.a</td>
<td>Centres shift randomly between sessions according to negative exponential kernel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(user function)</td>
<td>move.a, move.b</td>
<td>User-supplied kernel function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1 Dispersal kernels

All movement kernels are radially symmetrical. Relative probability of movement is specified in terms of radial distance \( r \) from the point of origin.

The extent of the kernel is controlled by details argument ‘kernelradius’ that gives the radius in terms of mask cells. The default radius (10) results in a discretized kernel of 349 cells (square of 441 cells minus corners). Cell-specific values are normalised so that they sum to 1.0 across the kernel. Dispersal probability effectively falls to zero at the boundary of the kernel, so the kernel radius is a critical part of the model.

A kernel function may be specified by the user and passed in the argument movementmodel. The function should have argument \( r \), and optionally \( a \), or \( a \) and \( b \) (the last two correspond to openCR parameters move.a and move.b) It should return a vector of values one for each element of \( r \), although length\((r) = 1 \) when the likelihood is evaluated in C++ (details$SR = FALSE, the default). The code should give a valid result when \( r = 0 \). With the default link (‘log’ for both move.a and move.b) there is no risk of \( a \leq 0 \) or \( b \leq 0 \).

A kernel may be visualised with the plotKernel function:

```R
par (mar=c(2,2,3,6), cex=0.9)
plotKernel (‘normal’, spacing = 10, pars = 40, clip = TRUE, contour = TRUE)
```
8.2 Warnings

1. The ‘uncorrelated’ option is not recommended. It discards information on the continuity of home ranges between primary sessions, and estimates may vary with the (often arbitrary) extent of the habitat mask.

2. Kernel-based movement models are experimental in openCR 1.1. This is because
   - bugs may remain in the code,
   - the theory has yet to be peer reviewed,
   - doubts remain about the general robustness and usefulness of the estimates, and
   - questions about edge effects, appropriate kernel size and buffer width remain to be addressed.

9 Derived parameters

Various derived parameters may be computed from a fitted model. Specifically,

1. Abundance at each primary session (population size for non-spatial models or density for spatial models) may be computed from any JSSA model, including those fitted by maximizing the conditional likelihood. By default, the estimator is Horvitz-Thompson-like at the level of the superpopulation ($N$ or $D$). For non-spatial models $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{p}_i^{-1}$ where $\hat{p}_i$ is the estimated probability animal $i$ is seen in at least one session. For spatial models $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{a}_i^{-1}$, where $\hat{a}_i$ is the estimated effective sampling area of animal $i$ (Borchers and Efford 2008). The sums are over all individuals ever seen. Session-specific abundances are inferred by distributing $N$ or $D$ over sessions according to the entry probabilities $b$. Alternatively (HTbySession = TRUE) the H-T estimate may be based on the number detected in each session and the corresponding session-specific estimates of $p$ or $a$.

2. Any of the recruitment parameters in Table 2 or Table 4 may be computed from any other model of the same class (non-spatial or spatial)\textsuperscript{12}.

\textsuperscript{12}However, the effect of a constraint (e.g., parameter constant over sessions) will vary depending on the parameter to which it is applied.
Both goals are served by the derived method for openCR objects. Among other outputs, this generates a summary table with point estimates of all relevant parameters. We demonstrate this with a new dipper model, fitted using conditional likelihood:

```r
dipperCL <- openCR.fit(dipperCH, type = 'JSSA1CL',
  model = list(lambda_t, phi_t))
# only these parameters are in the model and estimated directly,
names(predict(dipperCL))
```

```r
## [1] "p"  "phi"  "lambda"
```

```r
# but we can derive b, f, gamma and N, as well as the super-population N
d <- derived(dipperCL)
print(d, digits = 3, legend = TRUE)
```

```r
## Total number observed 294
## Parameters in model p, phi, lambda
## Superpopulation size 310.6
## Session-specific counts and estimates:
##
## session  t  n  R  m  r  z  time  p  phi  lambda  b  f  gamma  kappa  N
## 1   1  22 22 0 13 0 0  0.902 0.626 2.792 0.0785 2.166 NA NA 24.4
## 2   2  60 60 11 25 2 1  0.902 0.454 1.265 0.1701 0.812 0.224 68.1
## 3   3  78 78 26 36 1 2  0.902 0.478 1.026 0.1778 0.548 0.359 86.2
## 4   4  80 80 35 48 2 3  0.902 0.624 1.104 0.1519 0.480 0.466 88.4
## 5   5  88 88 47 51 3 4  0.902 0.608 1.103 0.1365 0.495 0.566 97.6
## 6   6  98 98 52 52 2 5  0.902 0.583 0.958 0.1554 0.375 0.551 107.6
## 7   7  93 93 54 0 0 6  0.902 NA  NA 0.1298 NA 0.609 1.77 103.1
```

```r
## Field Definition
## ------- -----------------------------------------
## session primary session
## t primary session
## n number observed
## R number released
## m number already marked
## r number recaptured in later session
## z number known alive but not caught
## time accumulated time since start
## p detection probability per secondary session
## phi apparent survival per unit time
## lambda population growth rate per unit time
## b entry probabilities
## f per capita recruitment per unit time
## gamma seniority (cf reverse-time phi)
## kappa recruitment parameter of Link and Barker (2005)
## N population size
```

The print method for objects from derived provides some control over formatting, as shown. Use the Dscale argument to change area units (spatial models only).

derived does not yet provide delta-method SE or confidence intervals for derived parameters. A reliable workaround for abundance paramaters (N, D)\textsuperscript{13} is to (i) infer the point estimates with derived\textsuperscript{14}, (ii) assemble

\textsuperscript{13}This may sometimes be feasible for derived recruitment parameters, but given the doubts introduced by differing constraints it is better just to refit the model.

\textsuperscript{14}These are also the MLE when distribution = “poisson” (e.g., Schofield and Barker 2016).
a start vector on the link scale(s) for an equivalent full-likelihood `openCR.fit` model that includes the derived abundances, and (iii) run `openCR.fit` with `method = "none"` to compute the hessian at the MLE, and hence the full variance-covariance matrix.

## 10 Simulating open-population data

The `secr` functions `sim.popn` and `sim.capthist` provide the means to generate spatial open-population data with known survival probability, population trend $\lambda$ and detection parameters. Open population data are generated by setting `nsessions > 1` in `sim.popn` and specifying a value for $\lambda$. Turnover settings are controlled by components of the ‘details’ argument of `sim.popn`. The `secr` help page `?turnover` should be consulted. `sim.capthist` should be called with `renumber = FALSE` (otherwise individual capture histories cannot be matched across primary sessions).

Use the `openCR` function `sim.nonspatial` to generate non-spatial open-population data. `openCR` also provides these functions to streamline simulation and speed it up by using multiple cores –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>runsim.nonspatial</code></td>
<td>Generate data with <code>sim.nonspatial</code> and fit models using <code>openCR.fit</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>runsim.spatial</code></td>
<td>Generate data with <code>sim.popn</code> and <code>sim.capthist</code>, and fit models using</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>sumsims</code></td>
<td><code>openCR.fit</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

`runsim.nonspatial` and `runsim.spatial` are essentially wrappers; the user must provide appropriate argument values for each of the nested functions. See openCR-simulations.pdf for example code.

## 11 Troubleshooting

### 11.1 Nonidentifiability

It is common for some session-specific parameters of open capture–recapture models to be nonidentifiable, either for structural reasons or because the particular dataset is uninformative (e.g., Gimenez et al. 2004).

The main diagnostic is the rank of the Hessian matrix. If the rank is less than the number of parameters then the model is not fully identifiable and the estimates of some parameters will be confounded or unreliable. Matrix rank is determined numerically by counting non-zero eigenvalues. Computed eigenvalues of non-identifiable parameters may appear as small positive numbers, so it is necessary to apply an arbitrary numerical threshold.

Exactly which parameter estimates are unreliable can usually be discerned from computed variances (SE and confidence intervals). Data cloning (Lele et al. 2010) is also helpful; function `cloned.fit` implements the method for nonspatial models.

Session-specific turnover parameters may become nonidentifiable if home ranges are allowed to move freely between primary sessions (`movementmodel = 'uncorrelated'`). Intuitively, this is because radical changes in individual detection probability (due to proximity to detectors) cannot be separated from mortality and recruitment.

### 11.2 Failure of numerical maximization

Bad estimates (zero, very large, close to starting values or zero variance) may merely indicate a problem with the maximization algorithm rather than nonidentifiability.
11.2.1 Starting values

Numerical maximization of the likelihood requires appropriate starting values for the parameters. If starting values are poor then initial evaluations of the likelihood may return an infinite value, or otherwise provide inadequate direction for the numerical algorithm.

openCR.fit provides a mechanism for recycling earlier estimates as starting values: simply provide the name of a previously fitted model as the start argument. Parameters shared between the models will be set to the old estimates, while unmatched parameters will be set to defaults. A list of two previous models may be provided; values from the first take precedence.

11.2.2 Boundary estimates

Variance estimation based on the Hessian matrix fails if the estimate lies on a boundary of the parameter space. Computed SE are then extreme, and confidence limits are implausible. This commonly happens when apparent survival ($\phi$) approaches 1.0. Boundary estimates are more benign than other reasons for failure (the estimates themselves may be reliable). Alternative methods for variance estimation in this case have not been implemented.

Using the “sin” link for parameters bounded by 0 and 1 (the probability parameters $p$ and $\phi$) can be helpful.

11.2.3 Alternative algorithms

The default method for maximizing the likelihood function is Newton-Raphson as implemented in the R function nlm. This relies on numerical gradient estimates, which can cause trouble. Avoid gradient estimation entirely by using the somewhat slower ‘Nelder-Mead’ method of function optim e.g.,

```r
fitnr <- openCR.fit(ovenCH, type = 'JSSAICL', model = list(phi ~ t, lambda ~ t))
fitnm <- openCR.fit(ovenCH, type = 'JSSAICL', model = list(phi ~ t, lambda ~ t),
                  method = "Nelder-Mead", details = list(control = list(maxit = 5000)))
```

The default maximum number of likelihood evaluations for the Nelder-Mead algorithm (500) is often too small and results in a “probable maximization error” warning. Here we increase it to 2000 by setting the details argument “control” that is passed to optim.

Somewhat alarmingly, the NM algorithm settles on a lower log likelihood and different estimates:

```r
AIC(fitnm, fitnr)
```

```
## model npar rank logLik AIC AICc dAIC AICwt
## fitnm p-1 phi-t lambda-t 9 9 -661.3 1341 1344 9.154 0.0102
## fitnr p-1 phi-t lambda-t 9 9 -656.7 1331 1334 0.000 0.9898
```

We can fix that by feeding Nelder-Mead the starting values from another model:

```r
fitnm <- openCR.fit(ovenCH, type = 'JSSAICL', model = list(phi ~ t, lambda ~ t),
                  method = "Nelder-Mead", details = list(control = list(maxit = 2000)),
                  start = fitnr)
```

```r
AIC(fitnm, fitnr)
```

```
## model npar rank logLik AIC AICc dAIC AICwt
## fitnm p-1 phi-t lambda-t 9 9 -656.7 1331 1334 0 0.5
## fitnr p-1 phi-t lambda-t 9 9 -656.7 1331 1334 0 0.5
```

In the longer term, better maximizers are needed.
11.3 Speed

Spatial models are slow to fit. Consider these options:

- Use no more mask points than necessary. Typically about 1000 will do (may not apply for kernel movement models).
- Data with many occasions (secondary sessions) should be collapsed.
- If you are interested in several models, fit them all at once with `par.openCR.fit` and `ncores > 1`. This can save a lot of time.
- Don’t assume that increasing the number of cores will necessarily speed up computations; additional cores impose overheads in cluster setup and communication.
- Use the conditional likelihood (CL) models: estimates of phi and lambda are often all you need, and `derive` can give estimates of abundance (superN, N, superD, and D) from CL models, as well as alternative measures of recruitment.
- Avoid individual covariates with many levels. This applies especially to continuous individual covariates: normally these should be discretized (coded as a few ordered categories, but not converted to factor).
- First fit the most simple model and add complexity, using a simpler related model for ‘start’.
- ‘secr’ data with detector type ‘multi’ fit much faster than ‘proximity’ data; use this option if it makes sense (and even maybe when it doesn’t).
- For problems with many parameters, ‘cyclic fixing’ may be useful (Schwarz and Arnason 1996; Pledger et al. 2003).

`openCR ≥ 1.2` is generally faster than `openCR 1.1` because it automatically uses multiple threads to run some calculations in parallel. The speed difference can be impressive - around 4x. Multithreading uses RcppParallel. A couple of tuning parameters are available. The number of threads is set with `ncores` argument in `openCR.fit`. By default, `openCR` uses one less than the number of (virtual) cores available (i.e. 7 on a quad-core desktop with hyperthreading). The ‘grain size’ (see RcppParallel may be varied with `details$grain`, but seems to have little effect.

12 Extras

12.1 Sampling variance warning

Full models (not CL or Pradel) include superpopulation size \( N \) as a variable. The default in `openCR` for both non-spatial and spatial models is to treat \( N \) as a Poisson variable, from which it follows that the number of individuals detected at least once \( (n) \) is also Poisson. This is also the default in `secr`. However, estimates from POPAN models in MARK treat \( N \) as fixed and \( n \) as binomial. The assumption of fixed \( N \) leads to narrower confidence intervals and estimates of detection and turnover parameters that differ slightly from conditional likelihood models (see e.g. Schofield and Barker 2016). To obtain JSSA estimates from `openCR` that match those from MARK it is necessary to set `distribution = "binomial"`.

12.2 Example datasets

Several examples of analyses with `openCR` are given in the associated vignette `openCR-examples.pdf`. These use data already formatted as `secr` `capthist` objects in R; the objects are provided in one or other package. All are available immediately `openCR` is loaded with `library`. Each has its own help page.

Table 6. Data objects in `openCR`. ‘RD’ indicates robust design with multiple secondary sessions. See `openCR-examples.pdf` for references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data object</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>Species etc.</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>microtusCH</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>Meadow vole</td>
<td>Nichols et al. (1984), Williams et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Microtus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pennsylvanicus USA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. Multi-session data objects in secr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data object</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>Species etc.</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FebpossumCH</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Brushtail possum <em>Trichosurus vulpecula</em> New Zealand</td>
<td>M. Efford unpubl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipperCH</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>European dipper <em>Cinclus cinclus</em> France</td>
<td>Lebreton et al. (1992), MARK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gonodontisCH</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Moth <em>Gonodontis bidentata</em> England</td>
<td>Bishop et al. (1978), Crosbie (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fieldvoleCH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Field vole <em>Microtus agrestis</em> Norway</td>
<td>Ergon and Lambin (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVpossumCH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Brushtail possum <em>Trichosurus vulpecula</em> New Zealand</td>
<td>M. Efford unpubl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ovenCHp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ovenbird <em>Seiurus aurocapilla</em> USA</td>
<td>D. Dawson and M. Efford unpubl.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12.3 Testing assumptions

This is generally an undeveloped field for spatially explicit capture-recapture models. Demonstrating that assumptions were not satisfied may also be of no consequence: we would usually ignore such a finding if the estimator is reasonably robust.

For Cormack-Jolly-Seber (nonspatial) models there is an established suite of tests following Burnham et al. (1987). The tests have been implemented in the U-CARE software of Choquet et al. (2009), recently translated into R by Gimenez et al (2017) as package R2ucare. Program RELEASE (Burnham et al. 1987) also implements the core CJS tests and is available through MARK.

The openCR function ucare.cjs is a wrapper for relevant functions in R2ucare, which should be installed. We briefly demonstrate it here for the dipper data of Marzolin (1988).

```r
if (requireNamespace("R2ucare"))
  ucare.cjs(dipperCH, verbose = FALSE, by = 'sex')
```

```r
## Loading required namespace: R2ucare
## $Male
## $Male$components
##  #  stat df p_val sign_test
##  #  test3sr 6.778 5 0.238 -1.530
##  #  test3sm 0.000 2 1.000 NA
##  #  test2ct 4.284 2 0.117 -1.035
##  #  test2cl 0.000 0 1.000 NA
##  ##
##  ## $Male$overall_CJS
##  ##  chi2 degree_of_freedom p_value
##  ##  Gof test for CJS model: 11.06 9 0.271
##  ##
##
## $Female
## $Female$components
##  #  stat df p_val sign_test
```
This invocation of `ucare.cjs` calls the `R2ucare` functions `test3sr`, `test3sm`, `test2ct`, `test2cl` and `overall_CJS` for each sex and provides a condensed report. For interpretation see the original papers, the `R2ucare` vignette, and Chapter 5 of the MARK book (Cooch and White 2017). Lebreton et al. (1992: 86) indicate only Test 3SR is meaningful for these data (see also openCR-examples.pdf).

### 12.4 Limitations of openCR

**openCR** does not do

1. Continuous random effects (consider finite mixtures as an alternative)
2. Parameter counting to adjust AIC
3. Overdispersion adjustment (chat, QAIC) or goodness-of-fit tests, except for `ucare.cjs` (above).
4. MCMC
5. Bootstrap confidence intervals
6. Temporary emigration parameterizations of non-spatial robust-design models
7. Age-specific survival curves (Weibull etc.)
8. Mark-resight
9. SE for derived parameters and estimates with `mlogit` link (to be fixed)

Parameter counting and overdispersion adjustment are probably the most critical omissions. See Cooch and White (2017) for detailed coverage in the context of MARK.

### 12.5 Differences from secr

Defaults for some arguments differ between `openCR.fit` and `secr.fit`. For `openCR.fit` –

1. `trace = FALSE`
2. By default the reported log likelihood and AIC do not include the multinomial constant (`details$multinom = FALSE`) 
3. The default criterion for AIC.openCR is ‘AIC’, not ‘AICc’ as in secr.

distribution has been elevated to a full argument rather than merely a component of details. This argument describes the distribution of the number of individuals detected (default distribution = “poisson”) (see here).

When `details$LLonly = TRUE`, `openCR.fit` returns a vector with the log likelihood in position 1, followed by the named starting values of the coefficients (beta parameters) (`secr.fit` returns only the log likelihood).

In secr the argument CL is used in secr.fit to switch between full- and conditional-likelihood models. In openCR conditional-likelihood models are given a separate type with the suffix CL.

The predictor ‘t’ is used in secr models to indicate a factor with one level for each secondary session. In openCR it is a synonym for ‘session’, i.e. a factor with one level for each primary session. This is consistent with the use of ‘t’ in Lebreton et al. (1992) and makes for more compact model specification. In the unlikely event that you want to code a model with one level for each secondary session in openCR, use the ‘timecov’ argument.
Parts of \texttt{openCR} are coded in C++, via the R package \texttt{Rcpp}, whereas \texttt{secr} uses C. The \texttt{Rcpp} interface requires less copying of data, and enables the use of multiple threads via \texttt{RcppParallel}. \texttt{openCR} also duplicates some C++ functions in native R code, which is useful for debugging. Select the R version by setting \texttt{details = list(R = TRUE)} in \texttt{openCR.fit}. This currently works for most models except those with detector type ‘multi’.

These features of \texttt{secr} are not available in \texttt{openCR}

1. Hybrid mixture models (hcov in \texttt{secr})
2. Groups (use CL and individual covariates, or see \texttt{marked})
3. Regression splines from \texttt{mgcv}
4. Model averaging
5. Density surfaces and other spatial density models
6. Post-hoc probability density of activity centres (fxi in \texttt{secr})
7. Non-point detectors (polygon, polygonX etc. in \texttt{secr})
8. ‘collate’ function (\texttt{make.table} may do the job)
9. Variable effort for \textit{nonspatial} models (cf Efford, Borchers and Mowat 2013) (The ‘usage’ attribute of traps objects is applied in spatial \texttt{openCR} models).
10. Negative binomial counts (binomN<0)

\subsection*{12.6 Relationship to other software}

The non-spatial capability of \texttt{openCR} largely duplicates MARK and RMark. Several of the nonspatial model types have exact matches in MARK (Table 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>\texttt{openCR} type</th>
<th>MARK model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJS</td>
<td>CJS</td>
<td>Seber (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAb</td>
<td>POPAN</td>
<td>Schwarz and Arnason (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSSAfCL</td>
<td>LinkBarker</td>
<td>Link and Barker (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradel</td>
<td>Pradlambda</td>
<td>Pradel (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pradelg</td>
<td>Pradsen</td>
<td>Pradel (1996)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The R package \texttt{marked} (Laake, Johnson and Conn 2013) also overlaps substantially with the non-spatial features of \texttt{openCR}. Its interface echoes \texttt{RMark} just as \texttt{openCR} echoes \texttt{secr}. \texttt{marked} has some fancy features for individual covariates and random effects, and promises fast processing of large datasets. \texttt{marked} 1.1.13 includes full-likelihood JSSA (POPAN) models parameterized in terms of entry probabilities (type JSSAb)\(^\text{15}\), but not the other JSSA options in Table 2.

\section*{13 References}


\(^{15}\) dipper example in openCR-examples.pdf.


14 Appendix. SECR likelihood for open-population model with movement between primary sessions

This appendix repeats material in the submitted paper Efford (2018b).

We classify spatiotemporal capture–recapture models according to the constraints on home range centres. Centres may be –

1. Stationary throughout the study,
2. Distributed independently within some region at each sampling session, or
3. Redistributed according to a spatial kernel, leading to temporally autocorrelated locations.

We assume that turnover (survival, recruitment and movement) is independent of location. This simplifies treatment of the birth and death processes. For an animal known to be present from birth just before time \(b\) until death just after time \(d\), the modelling of scenarios (1) and (2) is straightforward - neither involves an explicit description of the movement process.

In the movement model (scenario 3 above), animals shift their home-range centres in the interval between sampling sessions according to a probabilistic dispersal kernel (Nathan et al 2012). If the kernel is radially symmetrical we can express the probability of moving from \(x_1\) to \(x_2\) as a function of distance i.e., \(\Pr(x_2|x_1) = f(|x_2 - x_1|)\). The cumulative displacement after \(S\) intervals is the \(S\)-convolution of \(f\) with itself.

Home-range centres can be assumed initially (when first available for detection at time \(b\)) to follow a Poisson distribution in two dimensions. The intensity of the distribution is the population density \(D\); we mostly assume \(D\) to be constant\(^{16}\).

Barring edge effects, and allowing individuals to move independently, the distribution of home range centres remains Poisson over time. The location of an individual chosen at random from a finite region \(A\) (large enough to avoid edge effects that affect sampled animals) has probability density \(\pi(x) = 1/A\).

14.1 Closed-population likelihood

The likelihood for spatially explicit capture–recapture data developed by Borchers and Efford (2008) is a product of two parts, one for the probability of observing \(n\) individuals in the course of a study, and the other for the probability of observing the particular set of \(n\) non-null detection histories \(\omega\): \(L = L(n)L(\omega|n)\).

The second part of the likelihood is a product over individuals:

\[
L(\omega|n) \propto \prod_{\omega_i \in \omega} \Pr(\omega_i|\omega_i > 0),
\]

assuming individuals are detected independently, and using \(\omega_i > 0\) to indicate a non-null detection history. In the closed-population model

\[
\Pr(\omega_i|\omega_i > 0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_i|\omega_i > 0, x) \cdot f(x|\omega_i > 0) \, dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\Pr(\omega_i|x)}{p(x)} \cdot \frac{D(x)p(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D(x)p(x) \, dx} \, dx,
\]

where \(x\) represents the location of an animal and \(f(x|\omega_i > 0)\) describes the spatial distribution of detected animals. For constant \(D(x)\) the RHS simplifies to \(a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_i|x) \, dx\) where \(a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x) \, dx\). Expressions are provided below for the overall probability of capture \(p(x) = \Pr(\omega_i > 0|x)\).

\(^{16}\)Although it is straightforward to use an inhomogeneous Poisson distribution \(D(x)\) for initial location, a radially symmetrical movement kernel causes this to flatten over time. Location-dependent (or possibly non-isotropic) movement is needed to result in an inhomogeneous distribution at equilibrium.
This formulation avoids specifying a finite target population \( N \) or area \( A \). It relies on \( f(x) \) falling to zero over most of the plane so that \( E(n) \) is finite. The model can also be formulated for a finite population \( N \) in a region with area \( A \). Computer implementations of both formulations restrict integration to the most pertinent subset of the plane, but this is a convenience rather than essential.

In a homogeneous Poisson population, \( n \) is Poisson distributed with expected value \( \lambda = Da \) where \( a \) is the effective sampling area defined by \( a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x) \, dx \) where \( p(x) \) is the probability an individual at \( x \) is detected at least once (Borchers and Efford 2008).

### 14.2 Open-population likelihood

The data for an open-population study comprise observations \( \omega_{i,j} \) on each animal \( i \) in successive primary sessions \( j \). Times of birth/arrival \( b \) and death/departure \( d \) are generally unknown\(^{17}\). All possible combinations of \( b \) and \( d \) are considered in the individual-based form of the likelihood (Pledger et al. 2003, 2010; Link and Barker 2010; Schofield and Barker 2016).

If animals do not move between primary sessions we have

\[
\Pr(\omega_i | \omega_i > 0) = \sum_{b=1}^{J} \sum_{d=1}^{J} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{b-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d-1} \phi_j(1 - \phi_d) \prod_{j=b}^{j=d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_{i,j} | \omega_i > 0, x_b) \cdot f(x_b | \omega_i > 0) \, dx_b \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{b=1}^{J} \sum_{d=1}^{J} \left[ \beta_{b-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d-1} \phi_j(1 - \phi_d) a^{-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_{i,j} | x_b) \, dx_b \right].
\]

The expression \( f(x_b | \omega_i > 0) \) concerns the spatial distribution of detected members of the superpopulation,\(^{18}\) and \( p(x_b) \) refers to the overall probability of detecting a member of the superpopulation with initial location \( x_b \). \( a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x) \, dx \).

If animals are allowed to move between primary sessions then we must consider their location at each sampling time when modelling \( \omega_{i,j} \). We use \( x_j \) for the location of an animal in session \( j \). We assume that centres are Poisson-distributed at the time of recruitment, and we designate these \( x_b \). On each later occasion each \( \omega_{i,j} \) is shifted by a random vector governed by the dispersal kernel, progressively drifting away from \( x_{i,1} \).

\[
\Pr(\omega_i | \omega_i > 0) = \sum_{b=1}^{J} \sum_{d=1}^{J} \left[ \beta_{b-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d-1} \phi_j(1 - \phi_d) \prod_{j=b}^{j=d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_{i,j} | \omega_i > 0, x_j) \cdot f(x_b | \omega_i > 0) \cdot f(x_j | \omega_{i,j-1}, x_b) \, dx_j \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{b=1}^{J} \sum_{d=1}^{J} \left[ \beta_{b-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d-1} \phi_j(1 - \phi_d) a^{-1} \prod_{j=b}^{j=d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Pr(\omega_{i,j} | x_j) \cdot f(x_j | x_{j-1}, \omega_{i,j-1}) \, dx_j \right].
\]

\( p(x_b) \) and \( a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x) \, dx \) are defined in relation to the superpopulation as before, but their calculation must allow for possible movement between primary sessions.

For the correlated model, \( f(x_b | x_{b-1}, \omega_{i,b-1}) \) is defined as \( f(x_b) \) i.e. Poisson. On later occasions we 'update' the probability of each potential location using detection information from the previous occasion and the dispersal kernel.

---

\(^{17}\) \( b \) and \( d \) strictly refer to the first and last sampling times at which an animal was available for detection. Except for removal on capture, CJS models condition on first detection, so the computations effectively treat \( b \) as known.

\(^{18}\) 'Superpopulation' refers to all animals alive and available for capture at one or more sampling times (primary sessions) (Schwarz and Arnason 1996).
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### Appendix Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centres</th>
<th>$Pr(\omega_i \mid \omega_i &gt; 0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static</td>
<td>$a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \prod_{j=b}^{d} p(\omega_{i,j}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncorrelated</td>
<td>$a^{-1} \prod_{j=b}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{A}} p(\omega_{i,j}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlated</td>
<td>$a^{-1} \prod_{j=b}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(\omega_{i,j}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.2.1 Updating of $x_j$
We have yet to describe the sequence of probability models for the location of detected individuals $f(x_j | x_{j-1}, \omega_{i,j-1})$. In the absence of detection information, each successive model would be a convolution of the dispersal kernel with the previous 2-dimensional probability distribution. For a homogeneous Poisson density model this alone would achieve nothing (each successive distribution would be 2-D Poisson). The ‘trick’ here is to first compute the posterior probability for the location of the current animal given the spatial information on detections in the current sampling session:

$$g(x_j | \omega_{i,j}) = p(\omega_{i,j} | x_j) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(\omega_{i,j} | x_j) \, dx.$$ 

Then for dispersal kernel $h$ the convolution is

$$f(x_j) = g(x_{j-1}) * h.$$ 

We usually integrate over a finite area of habitat rather than the real plane. Edge effects due to animals dispersing out of this area pose a potentially significant problem that has not been addressed. Toroidal wrapping is a possibility when the area is rectangular, but it generally isn’t.

14.3 Probability detected at least once

14.3.1 Static HR
For independent detectors each with individual detection probability $p_{sk}(x)$, the aggregate probability that an animal at $x$ will be detected by at least one detector on occasion $s$ is $p_s = 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{K} (1 - p_{sk}(x))$. Then the overall probability of detection for an animal at $x$ is $p(x) = 1 - \prod_{s=1}^{S} [1 - p_s(x)] = 1 - \prod_{s=1}^{S} \prod_{k=1}^{K} [1 - p_{sk}(x)]$.

14.3.2 With kernel-based movement
The evaluation of $p(x_j)$ must allow for the possibility that a member of the superpopulation is not alive at time $j$, and for movement between sampling occasions. Using $\beta_j$ for entry probability and $\phi_j$ for apparent survival,

$$p(x_b) = \sum_{b=1}^{J} \sum_{d=b}^{J} \beta_b \prod_{j=b}^{d-1} \phi_j (1 - \phi_d) \{ [1 - \prod_{j=b}^{d} \{Pr(\omega_j = 0 | x_j) f(x_j | x_b) \}] \}.$$ 

The problem here is to pin down $f(x_j | x_b)$ for an undetected animal. Initially $(j = b)$ $x_b$ is Poisson-distributed. This changes over time: peripheral locations become more likely the longer an animal remains uncaptured. Diffusive dispersal movements tend to flatten the distribution.

---

19 Binary or count ‘proximity’ detectors in the jargon of secr.
\[
f(x_j|x_{j-1}) = \frac{f(x_{j-1})[1 - p_s(x_{j-1})]}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_{j-1})[1 - p_s(x_{j-1})] \, dx} \ast h.
\]

For the spatiotemporal model the effective sampling area \( a \) may be defined in relation to the superpopulation using \( p(x) \).

### 14.4 Implementation

In the development above, integrations have been across the plane (\( \mathbb{R}^2 \)). In practice, integration will usually be restricted to a finite area \( A \), for both computational and biological reasons. For sampling in continuous habitat, when there is no natural limit to \( A \), the extent of \( A \) is usually set by considering the probability of detecting more distant animals (these should be ignorable) – choosing \( A \) that is too small results in positive bias in estimated density. For models that include dispersal we must also allow for the immigration of more distant animals during sampling, so a larger region is desirable.