

# Diabetes & Obesity

## RESEARCH REVIEW™



Making Education Easy

Issue 145 – 2021

### In this issue:

- Diabetes onset age and subsequent dementia risk
- Progression to diabetes risk in older adults with prediabetes
- Bariatric surgery and major adverse diabetes outcomes
- Cooking oil/fat consumption and mortality
- Dietary patterns and incidence of CV diseases and mortality
- Intra-gastric botulinum toxin A reduces bodyweight
- Sustaining type 1 diabetes self-management
- Topical oxygen therapy for diabetes-related foot ulcers
- Cost considerations for adopting diabetes technologies
- Fewer acute diabetes complications after CGM initiation

#### Abbreviations used in this issue

**CGM** = continuous glucose monitoring  
**CV** = cardiovascular  
**HbA<sub>1c</sub>** = glycosylated haemoglobin  
**HR** = hazard ratio  
**RCT** = randomised controlled trial  
**SMBG** = self-monitoring of blood glucose

Kindly Supported by



## Welcome to issue 145 of Diabetes and Obesity Research Review.

This issue begins with research that helps to clarify the relationship between vascular complications of early-onset type 2 diabetes and dementia. There is also a paper investigating the association between bariatric surgery and all-cause mortality in severely obese type 2 diabetics. An RCT of intra-gastric injection of botulinum toxin A administered endoscopically to obese patients has reported significant reductions in bodyweight as a result. This issue concludes with a paper from France reporting notable declines in hospitalisations for acute diabetes complications among patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes after they switch from SMBG to CGM with the FreeStyle Libre system.

We hope you enjoy the papers selected for this issue. We always appreciate your comments and feedback.

Best regards,

**Professor Jeremy Krebs**

[jeremykrebbs@researchreview.co.nz](mailto:jeremykrebbs@researchreview.co.nz)

### Association between age at diabetes onset and subsequent risk of dementia

**Authors:** Amidei CB et al.

**Summary:** These researchers used data from the UK population-based Whitehall II cohort (n=10,095) to investigate whether a younger age at onset of diabetes strengthens the association with incident dementia. There were 1710 cases of diabetes and 639 cases of dementia recorded over median follow-up of 31.7 years. Compared with nondiabetics aged 70 years, diabetes onset  $\leq 5$ , 6–10 and  $\geq 10$  years earlier was associated with increasing rates of dementia (10.0, 13.0 and 18.3, respectively, vs. 8.9 per 1000 person-years; adjusted HRs 1.11 [95% CI 0.70, 1.76], 1.49 [0.95, 2.32] and 2.12 [1.50, 3.00];  $p < 0.001$  for trend). At age 70 years, each previous 5 years of age at type 2 diabetes onset was associated with an increased likelihood of dementia (adjusted HR 1.24 [95% CI 1.06, 1.46]).

**Comment:** We are well versed in associations between diabetes, glycaemic control and the common microvascular complications, as well as the increased risk of macrovascular disease. However, as this paper illustrates, people with diabetes are also at increased risk of other long-term complications such as dementia, which of course can be secondary to vascular changes. One of the more important observations from this analysis of the very long-term outcomes of the population cohort Whitehall study from the UK is that age at onset of diabetes is strongly related to risk of dementia. This is a surrogate of duration of exposure to hyperglycaemia. This is important because we are seeing an increasing trend of diabetes being diagnosed at younger ages, thus heralding a likely increase in incidence of early dementia in the coming years.

**Reference:** JAMA 2021;325:1640–9

[Abstract](#)

[CLICK HERE](#) to read previous issues of Diabetes & Obesity Research Review

NEW



## PATIENTS TAKING CONTRAVE ACHIEVED SIGNIFICANT AND SUSTAINED WEIGHT LOSS WHILE ON THERAPY<sup>1,2,7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>At 56 weeks, compared to placebo (-8.1% vs -1.8%,  $p < 0.0001$ ), in patients with obesity or who are overweight with one or more weight related comorbidities, in conjunction with a reduced-calorie diet and increased physical activity. <sup>2</sup>e.g. type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia, controlled hypertension.

**CONTRAVE RESPONDER/COMPLETERS<sup>#</sup> ACHIEVED 11.6% WEIGHT LOSS FROM BASELINE TO 56 WEEKS.<sup>\*9</sup>**

<sup>\*</sup>Post hoc pooled analysis of responders/completers<sup>#</sup> from Phase 3 Contrave development program. <sup>#</sup>Responders/completer population achieved  $\geq 5\%$  weight loss at week 16 and completed 56 weeks of treatment.

Prescribe Contrave in conjunction with reduced-calorie diet and increased physical activity. Please review full Data Sheet before prescribing, available by clicking [here](#)

References: 1. Contrave Data Sheet. 2. Billes SK et al. Pharmacol Res 2014;64:1–11. 3. Australian and New Zealand Obesity Society, Australian Obesity Management Algorithm. Available at: [www.anzoss.com/publications](http://www.anzoss.com/publications) (accessed April 2021). 4. Diormine Data Sheet. 5. Savenda Data Sheet. 6. Xenical Data Sheet. 7. Greenway FL et al. Lancet 2010;376(9741):595–605. 8. Hollander P et al. Diabetes Care 2013;36(12):4022–9. 9. Fujioka K et al. Int J Obes 2016;40:1369–1375.

© 2021 Nova Pharmaceuticals (Australia) Pty Limited, Level 10, 12 Help Street, Chabwood NSW 2052, Australia. Distributed in New Zealand by Radiant Health Ltd, c/ Supply Chain Solutions, 24 Westney Road, Airport Oaks, Auckland. Tel: free 0800 375 394, NZ 2021-04-0002, 7495 NA 12971, April 2021.

[CLICK HERE](#)

FOR FULL MANDATORIES

For more information, please go to [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz)

[www.researchreview.co.nz](http://www.researchreview.co.nz)

a RESEARCH REVIEW™ publication



**Jardiance®**  
(empagliflozin)

**FULLY FUNDED**  
with Special Authority criteria\*  
February 1st, 2021

**NEW. For your patients with type 2 diabetes†**

THE POWER TO ACCOMPLISH MORE  
Above and beyond glycaemic control<sup>†1,2</sup>

Click below to download your JARDIANCE resources

**PRESCRIBING GUIDE**    **PATIENT BOOKLET**

## Risk of progression to diabetes among older adults with prediabetes

**Authors:** Rooney MR et al.

**Summary:** These researchers compared different prediabetes definitions, and characterised the prediabetes and diabetes risks for 3412 older adults (mean age 75.6 years) from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study without diabetes at baseline who were followed semi-annually; 2496 of the patients attended their follow-up visit or died. During 6.5 years of follow-up, 156 incident diabetes cases (118 diagnosed) and 434 deaths were recorded. HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 5.7–6.4% were recorded for 44% of the patients and 59% had an impaired fasting glucose level (100–125 mg/dL), with 73% being in either of these groups and 29% in both. Among patients with a baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 5.7–6.4%, 9% progressed to diabetes, 13% regressed to normoglycaemia and 19% died; the corresponding proportions in patients with an impaired fasting glucose level at baseline were 8%, 44% and 16%. Among patients with a baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of <5.7%, 17% progressed to an HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 5.7–6.4% and 3% developed diabetes, and among those with a baseline fasting glucose level of <100 mg/dL, 8% progressed to an impaired fasting glucose level and 3% developed diabetes.

**Comment:** Prediabetes is a topic I am very interested in. There continues to be a lot of uncertainty about what it really means. This translates into uncertainty and inconsistency in how health professionals deal with prediabetes, both in terms of screening and management. Historical data suggested that people with prediabetes, defined by an oral glucose tolerance test, progressed to diabetes at a rate of about 10% per annum and had a 70% lifetime risk of progression. However, as with this paper, we are seeing an increasing body of literature that reports much lower rates of progression when prediabetes is defined by HbA<sub>1c</sub> level or fasting glucose level criteria. This highlights the underlying physiological differences determining each of these metrics. Getting greater clarity on this issue is very important so that we can confidently plan policy and practice.

**Reference:** *JAMA Intern Med* 2021;181:511–19  
[Abstract](#)

**NZMA**  
New Zealand Medical Association

**Rotorua GP CME**  
General Practice Conference & Medical Exhibition

**10-13 JUNE 2021**  
ENERGY EVENTS CENTRE  
ROTORUA

[gpcme.co.nz](http://gpcme.co.nz)

<sup>†</sup>38% RRR in CV death in patients with established CV disease (CAD, PAD, MI or stroke) and T2D (HR=0.62; p<0.001).<sup>‡2</sup>  
\*JARDIANCE is a funded medicine. Restrictions apply: Pharmaceutical Schedule, Hospital Medicines List. <sup>†</sup>In adult patients with insufficiently controlled type 2 diabetes and CAD, PAD, or a history of MI or stroke. <sup>‡</sup>The absolute risk for CV death was reduced from 5.9% in patients receiving standard of care plus placebo to 3.7% in patients receiving standard of care plus JARDIANCE® (p<0.001).<sup>1,2</sup>

1. JARDIANCE® Data Sheet 2019 2. Zinman B et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(22):2117-2128

**JARDIANCE® empagliflozin 10mg, 25mg film coated tablets** Before prescribing, please review full Data Sheet which is available on request from Boehringer Ingelheim or from <http://www.medsafe.govt.nz/profs/datasheet/dsform.asp>

**INDICATION:** *Glycaemic control:* Treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) to improve glycaemic control in adults as: *Monotherapy* - When diet and exercise alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control in patients for whom use of metformin is considered inappropriate due to intolerance; *Add-on combination therapy* - With other glucose-lowering medicinal products including insulin, when these, together with diet and exercise, do not provide adequate glycaemic control. *Prevention of cardiovascular (CV) death:* In patients with T2DM and established CV disease to reduce the risk of CV death. To prevent CV deaths, JARDIANCE® should be used in conjunction with other measures to reduce CV risk in line with the current standard of care. **DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION:** Recommended starting dose is 10mg once daily taken with or without food. Dose can be increased to 25mg once daily. No dose adjustment is necessary for patients based on age, patients with eGFR ≥30mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> or hepatic impairment. When JARDIANCE® is used in combination with a sulfonylurea (SU) or with insulin, a lower dose of the sulfonylurea or insulin may be considered. **CONTRAINDICATIONS:** Hypersensitivity to empagliflozin or any of the excipients; patients with CKD stage 4 or 5 (severely impaired renal function including patients receiving dialysis; eGFR <30mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> or CrCl <30mL/min). **WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS:** Patients with type 1 diabetes; diabetic ketoacidosis; necrotising fasciitis of the perineum (Fournier's gangrene); discontinue when eGFR is below 30mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>; assess renal function before treatment and regularly thereafter; patients for whom a drop in BP could pose a risk (e.g. those with known CV disease, on anti-hypertensive therapy with a history of hypotension, or aged ≥75 years); urinary tract infections (UTIs); rare hereditary conditions of galactose intolerance, e.g. galactosaemia; pregnancy; lactation; children (<18 years). **INTERACTIONS:** Diuretics; insulin and SU; interference with 1,5-anhydroglucitol assay. **ADVERSE REACTIONS:** *Very common:* hypoglycaemia (when used with combination with SU or insulin). *Common:* hypoglycaemia (combination with metformin; pioglitazone with or without metformin; metformin and linagliptin); vaginal moniliasis, vulvovaginitis, balanitis and other genital infections; UTIs (including pyelonephritis and urosepsis); pruritus; allergic skin reactions (e.g. rash, urticaria); increased urination; thirst; serum lipids increased; volume depletion (patients aged ≥75 years). For other adverse reactions, see full Data Sheet. **ACTIONS:** Empagliflozin is a reversible, highly potent and selective competitive inhibitor of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2), which is responsible for glucose absorption in the kidney. It improves glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes by reducing renal glucose reabsorption through SGLT2. Through inhibition of SGLT2, excessive glucose is excreted in urine. **PRESCRIPTION MEDICINE.** JARDIANCE® is a funded medicine - Restrictions apply; Pharmaceutical Schedule, Hospital Medicines List. BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM (N.Z.) Ltd. Level 3, 2 Osterley Way, Manukau Auckland 2104. TAPS MR7142/PC-NZ-100168 BOE000370

**Boehringer Ingelheim**  
Boehringer Ingelheim (NZ) Ltd.  
PO Box 76216 Manukau City,  
Auckland 2241. Phone 0800 802 461

**Lilly**  
Eli Lilly and Company (NZ) Ltd.  
PO Box 109197 Newmarket,  
Auckland 1149. Phone 0800 500 056  
NZBN 9429039560643

For more information, please go to [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz)



## Saxenda® liraglutide (rys)

I have the will to train  
three times a week. But I  
still need help to lose  
weight and keep it off.

MICHAEL; Age: 38 BMI: 34  
Patient portrayal



Visit [SAXENDACARE.CO.NZ](http://SAXENDACARE.CO.NZ) to learn more  
Click below to download your Saxenda® resources

**PRESCRIBING GUIDE**

**PATIENT BOOKLET**

Saxenda® is an unfunded prescription medicine. Doctor's fees and pharmacy charges may apply. Please review Data Sheet before prescribing. The Data Sheet can be accessed at [www.novonordisk.co.nz](http://www.novonordisk.co.nz)

**SAXENDA® (liraglutide (rys) 6 mg/mL). Indication:** As an adjunct to a reduced-calorie diet and increased physical activity for weight management in adult patients with an initial Body Mass Index of  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obese) or  $\geq 27$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> to  $< 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (overweight) in the presence of at least one weight related comorbidity, such as dysglycaemia (pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus), hypertension, dyslipidaemia or obstructive sleep apnoea. Treatment should be discontinued after 12 weeks on the 3.0 mg/day dose if a patient has not lost at least 5% of their initial body weight. **Dose/administration:** Administered subcutaneously once daily at any time, independent of meals; starting dose 0.6 mg/day; increase to 3.0 mg/day in increments of 0.6 mg per week. If escalation to the next dose is not tolerated for two consecutive weeks, consider discontinuing treatment. Daily doses higher than 3.0 mg are not recommended. Must not be administered intravenously or intramuscularly. **Contraindications:** Hypersensitivity to liraglutide or any of its excipients. **Warnings/Precautions:** Not for use in patients: with obesity secondary to endocrinological or eating disorders or to treatment with medicinal products that may cause weight gain; children (<18 years); with a history of pancreatitis, severe renal impairment including end-stage renal disease, hepatic impairment or insufficiency, inflammatory bowel disease or diabetic gastroparesis;  $\geq 75$  years. Must not be used as a substitute for insulin. Should not be used: with insulin; in combination with other prescription, over-the-counter or complementary medicines intended for weight loss. Use with caution in patients: 65-74 years; with thyroid disease; on other drugs that increase heart rate. Advise patients of the potential risk of dehydration in relation to gastrointestinal side effects and to take precautions to avoid fluid depletion. If pancreatitis is suspected, treatment should be discontinued and appropriate management initiated. If acute pancreatitis is confirmed, Saxenda® should not be restarted. A higher rate of cholelithiasis and cholecystitis has been observed in patients treated with Saxenda® - patients should be informed of the characteristic symptoms. Cholelithiasis and cholecystitis may lead to hospitalisation and cholecystectomy. Saxenda® should be discontinued for patients who experience a sustained increase in resting heart rate. Reducing the dose of concomitantly administered insulin secretagogues to reduce the risk of hypoglycaemia should be considered. Pregnancy Category B3. Not for use during pregnancy or breast-feeding. **Undesirable effects:** Very Common: nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, constipation. Common: dyspepsia, abdominal pain upper, abdominal distension, eructation, flatulence, gastroesophageal reflux disease, dry mouth, gastritis, hypoglycaemia, injection site reactions, fatigue, asthenia, dizziness, dysgeusia, cholelithiasis, insomnia, increased lipase, increased amylase. (January 2020).

Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals Ltd., G.S.T. 53 960 898. PO Box 51268  
Pakuranga, Auckland, New Zealand. NovoCare® Customer Care Center (NZ) 0800 733 737.  
[www.novonordisk.co.nz](http://www.novonordisk.co.nz). Registered trademark of Novo Nordisk A/S. ANZ20SX00065.  
TAPS BG1054. Prepared: March 2021.



## Association between bariatric surgery and major adverse diabetes outcomes in patients with diabetes and obesity

**Authors:** Doumouras AG et al.

**Summary:** The association between bariatric surgery and mortality in severely obese (BMI  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) individuals with type 2 diabetes was explored in a retrospective, population-based matched cohort study; 3455 patients who underwent bariatric surgery were matched with 3455 nonsurgical controls. Compared with controls, a significantly smaller proportion of patients who underwent surgery died during median follow-up of 4.6 years (2.4% vs. 5.2%; HR 0.53 [95% CI 0.41, 0.69]), with reduced risks of CV-related mortality (HR 0.32 [0.15, 0.66]), a composite cardiac event endpoint (0.68 [0.55, 0.85]) and nonfatal renal events (0.58 [0.35, 0.95]). Among groups with the greatest absolute benefit from bariatric surgery, males, patients with diabetes for  $\geq 15$  years and those aged  $\geq 55$  years had absolute risk reductions of 3.7% (95% CI 1.7%, 5.7%), 4.3% (0.8%, 7.8%) and 4.7% (3.0%, 6.4%), respectively.

**Comment:** As stated by the authors, we have several high quality RCTs comparing bariatric surgery with standard care for the management of obesity. These are all relatively small and generally too short to determine the ultimate outcome of premature mortality. This current study, which is a retrospective case-matched cohort study, adds to the previous literature from the landmark Swedish Obesity Study. It is important because it includes people who underwent a sleeve gastrectomy, not included in the Swedish Obesity Study. It confirms the finding that bariatric surgery does reduce all-cause mortality and particularly CV-related mortality in those with type 2 diabetes. These data reinforce the message that for individuals with type 2 diabetes who are obese, bariatric surgery is a very valid and appropriate option for long-term management.

**Reference:** *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e216820

[Abstract](#)

## Cooking oil/fat consumption and deaths from cardiometabolic diseases and other causes

**Authors:** Zhang Y et al.

**Summary:** This prospective study evaluated associations between cooking oils/fat consumption (assessed using a validated food frequency questionnaire) and mortality in 521,120 participants aged 50–71 years from the NIH-AARP Diet and Health study. There were 129,328 deaths reported during median follow-up of 16 years. Intakes of butter and margarine were associated with higher total mortality while intakes of canola oil and olive oil were associated with lower total mortality. Adjusted HRs for cardiometabolic mortality (deaths from CV-related disease and diabetes) for each 1-tablespoon per day incremental increase in intake were 1.08 (95% CI 1.05, 1.10) for butter, 1.06 (1.05, 1.08) for margarine, 0.99 (0.95, 1.03) for corn oil, 0.98 (0.94, 1.02) for canola oil and 0.96 (0.92, 0.99) for olive oil. Butter consumption was also positively associated with cancer mortality.

**Comment:** I include this paper just to reinforce the message again. Incorporating mono- and polyunsaturated fats and reducing consumption of saturated fat is an important nutritional and health goal. Other literature has demonstrated benefits of mono- and polyunsaturated fats previously. This study reaffirms this, although we do need to be careful since it is retrospective and observational, and therefore may be confounded by any number of other healthy dietary and lifestyle choices that the participants were also making. Nonetheless, whilst butter may be better, olive oil keeps you alive longer!

**Reference:** *BMC Med* 2021;19:92

[Abstract](#)

RACP MyCPD Program participants can claim one credit per hour  
(maximum of 60 credits per year) for reading and evaluating Research Reviews.

[FOR MORE INFORMATION CLICK HERE](#)

For more information, please go to [www.medsafe.govt.nz](http://www.medsafe.govt.nz)



## Associations between dietary patterns and the incidence of total and fatal cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in 116,806 individuals from the UK Biobank

**Authors:** Gao M et al.

**Summary:** This analysis of UK Biobank data investigated associations of various dietary patterns with CV disease and all-cause mortality in 116,806 participants using a 24-hour online dietary assessment on  $\geq 2$  occasions. There were 4245 cases of CV disease, 838 of fatal CV disease and 3629 of all-cause mortality during a mean of 4.9 years of follow-up. Two dietary patterns were found to be associated with increased risk of CV disease and all-cause mortality. The first was characterised by high intakes of chocolate and confectionery, butter and low-fibre bread, and low intakes of fresh fruit and vegetables; this diet had a positive linear association with total CV disease and all-cause mortality. The second dietary pattern was characterised by higher intakes of sugar-sweetened beverages, fruit juice and table sugar/preserves; this pattern had a nonlinear association with total CV disease risk and all-cause mortality.

**Comment:** Foods are generally not consumed in isolation. Most people settle into a particular dietary pattern of eating, whether intentionally or not. We are increasingly learning about the importance of dietary patterns and their effect on health and risk or protection from chronic diseases such as diabetes and CV disease and also cancers. This study utilising data from the UK biobank highlights two specific patterns which are associated with increased CV disease and mortality. Perhaps not surprisingly, they centre around increased consumption of sugar in various forms and lower consumption of fibre, fruit and vegetables. This reinforces knowledge we already have. What we need is effective ways to help people modify their habitual dietary pattern to move away from these components.

**Reference:** *BMC Med* 2021;19:83

[Abstract](#)

## Endoscopic intragastric injection of botulinum toxin A in obese patients on bariatric surgery waiting lists

**Authors:** Torralvo FJS et al.

**Summary:** Fifty-two obese patients scheduled for bariatric surgery were randomised to intragastric botulinum toxin A or saline via endoscopy in the IntraTox study. Compared with saline, botulinum toxin A was associated with significantly greater reductions in bodyweight from baseline at weeks 2, 4, 8, 16 and 24 (1.9 vs. 0.6kg, 2.0 vs. 0.4kg, 2.8 vs. 0.4kg, 3.5 vs. 0.2kg and 4.5 vs. 0.6kg, respectively [p values 0.016, 0.031, 0.014, 0.021 and 0.023]), as well as a significantly higher quality of life score (GIQLI questionnaire) relative to baseline (104.4 vs. 97.7 points [p=0.024]). There was no significant between-group difference for perception of satiety or for biomarkers of satiety or appetite.

**Comment:** I can see the marketing strategy now – ‘Get rid of wrinkles and your fat at the same time’. Is there nothing that Botox® (botulinum toxin A) isn't good for? This is an interesting study comparing the effect of intragastric injection of Botox with a control of saline on weight loss. Botox was effective in promoting greater weight loss out to 24 weeks. It is of course an invasive procedure, not without risks and it is hard to see it being cost effective. Furthermore, it is unknown how effective it would be in the long-term. However, it is an interesting and novel mechanism to promote weight loss. It may gain some utility where an initial weight loss is required to enable subsequent surgery or to kickstart other weight loss efforts.

**Reference:** *Clin Nutr* 2021;40:1834–42

[Abstract](#)

## Sustained type 1 diabetes self-management: specifying the behaviours involved and their influences

**Authors:** Hamilton K et al., on behalf of the DAFNEplus study group

**Summary:** These researchers sought to identify behaviours involved in sustained type 1 diabetes self-management, their influences and relationships with each other using a mixed-methods study that followed the first two steps of the Behaviour Change Wheel framework: behaviours involved in self-management of type 1 diabetes were identified by expert stakeholder consultation; and modifiable barriers and enablers to sustained self-management were identified and synthesised by systematic review, healthcare provider-generated ‘red flags’ and participant-generated ‘frequently asked questions’ – these were characterised according to the COM-B (Capability-Opportunity-Motivation-Behaviour) model. There were 150 distinct behaviours identified, which were organised into the following three self-regulatory behavioural cycles that reflected different temporal and situational aspects of diabetes self-management: ‘routine’ (e.g. checking blood glucose level), ‘reactive’ (e.g. treating hypoglycaemia) and ‘reflective’ (e.g. reviewing blood glucose level data to identify patterns). There were also 34 barriers and five enablers identified, with ten relating to ‘capability’, 20 to ‘opportunity’ and nine to ‘motivation’.

**Comment:** There is no way around it. Type 1 diabetes is a bugger of a disease. It is relentless, invasive and pervasive. It is a wonder that anyone with type 1 diabetes ever maintains excellent glycaemic control and remains sane at the same time. Whilst the principles of managing diabetes are pretty simple, the execution of these on a moment-to-moment basis, day in and day out, is a major challenge. As this study highlights, there are numerous barriers and enablers to successful management, and they centre around three main behavioural themes. I always say to people diabetes loves routine, sad as that may be. Secondly, how people react to a change in their situation is critical; and finally, and perhaps most importantly, whether people have the time and bandwidth to reflect or review their situation, information and then modify their management based on that. Chapeau anyone with an HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of <60 mmol/mol I say.

**Reference:** *Diabet Med* 2021;38:e14430

[Abstract](#)

**New Zealand Research Review subscribers can claim CPD/CME points** for time spent reading our reviews from a wide range of local medical and nursing colleges. Find out more on our [CPD page](#).

**Independent Content:** The selection of articles and writing of summaries and commentary in this publication is completely independent of the advertisers/sponsors and their products. **Privacy Policy:** Research Review will record your email details on a secure database and will not release them to anyone without your prior approval. Research Review and you have the right to inspect, update or delete your details at any time. **Disclaimer:** This publication is not intended as a replacement for regular medical education but to assist in the process. The reviews are a summarised interpretation of the published study and reflect the opinion of the writer rather than those of the research group or scientific journal. It is suggested readers review the full trial data before forming a final conclusion on its merits. **Research Review publications are intended for New Zealand health professionals.**

 Research Review New Zealand is now on LinkedIn  
**FOLLOW US TO KEEP UP TO DATE**

  
**AUSTRALASIAN  
DIABETES CONGRESS 2021**

Brisbane Convention  
and Exhibition Centre  
11 – 13 August 2021

   
Australasian Diabetes Society  
New Zealand Diabetes Society





## Topical oxygen therapy for diabetes-related foot ulcers

**Authors:** Thanigaimani S et al.

**Summary:** This was a systematic review with meta-analysis of six RCTs (n=530; two, one and three had high, moderate and low risk of bias, respectively) reporting on topical oxygen therapy for diabetes-related foot ulcers. Compared with controls, topical oxygen therapy was associated with an increased likelihood of ulcer healing (risk ratio 1.94 [95% CI 1.19, 3.17]) with a number needed to treat of 5.33; this finding was robust in sensitivity analyses and was consistent when the analysis was restricted to trials judged to be at low risk of bias (2.37 [1.52, 3.68]); however there remained the possibility of publication bias. A meta-analysis was not possible for amputation as an outcome due to limited data.

**Comment:** Diabetic foot ulcers can lead to amputation and all of the morbidity associated with that. A lack of podiatry services in diabetes has been identified as a priority in a recent report on the state of diabetes in NZ. This was brought home to me just this week in clinic when I saw a patient who despite his best efforts had fallen between the cracks in the system and was facing the possibility of losing his job as a result of poorly healing ulcers in a Charcot's foot. This paper caught my eye because I had little knowledge of topical oxygen therapy. It seems to come in various guises, but as this paper reports, is associated with improved ulcer healing. I need to learn more about this and particularly consider how such treatments can be incorporated into a multidisciplinary team structure.

**Reference:** *Diabet Med*; Published online April 19, 2021

[Abstract](#)



This Research Review has been endorsed by The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners (RNZCGP) and has been approved for up to 1 CME credit for the General Practice Educational Programme (GPEP) and Continuing Professional Development (CPD) purposes. You can record your CME credits in your [RNZCGP Dashboard](#)



Time spent reading this publication has been approved for CNE by The College of Nurses Aotearoa (NZ) for RNs and NPs. For more information on how to claim CNE hours please [CLICK HERE](#).

**NZMA**  
**South GP CME**  
General Practice Conference & Medical Exhibition  
19-22 AUGUST 2021  
HORNCASTLE ARENA  
CHRISTCHURCH  
gpcme.co.nz

## Cost considerations for adoption of diabetes technology are pervasive

**Authors:** Addala A et al.

**Summary:** This qualitative study of patients with type 1 diabetes and their families reported on decision-making processes around the costs and usage of diabetes technologies. Relevant themes/nodes were identified from transcripts of four stakeholder groups, with the Social Ecological Model applied for interpreting five thematic levels of cost. Policy, organisational, insurance, interpersonal and individual were the five thematic levels of cost identified. Equitable access to diabetes technology was an important policy-level theme. There were a number of subthemes within the insurance-level theme that predominantly carried a negative valence. The participants also highlighted the psychosocial burden of cost, specifically identifying diabetes costs to their families, the guilt associated with diabetes-related costs and frustrations with respect to the time and involvement necessary to ensure insurance coverage.

**Comment:** This is such a topical issue for us in NZ. The arrival of flash glucose monitoring has made CGM a reality for more people in NZ, but it is still expensive and still beyond the reach of many. Furthermore, the rapid evolution of pump-based algorithms to automatically manage glucose fluxes, prevent hypoglycaemia and now reduce hyperglycaemic excursions takes us closer to a true artificial pancreas. None of this technology is cheap, and it doesn't always help everyone with type 1 diabetes. Unfortunately, clinical trials that report the mean group effects on HbA<sub>1c</sub> level often lose the rich data of individual experiences, which may be positive or negative. This makes it difficult to persuade funding bodies. Furthermore, there are so many additional costs and benefits of these technologies that need to be taken into account, as highlighted by this paper. Let's hope that some of PHARMAC's new chest of gold can fund some glucose sensors for those with type 1 diabetes.

**Reference:** *Diabet Med*; Published online April 1, 2021

[Abstract](#)

## Important drop rate of acute diabetes complications in people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes after initiation of flash glucose monitoring in France

**Authors:** Roussel R et al.

**Summary:** The RELIEF study included 74,011 patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes who had started using the FreeStyle Libre CGM system, subclassified according to SMBG strip acquisition prior to CGM. After starting the CGM system, there were decreases in hospitalisations for acute diabetes complications among the patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes (-49.0% and -39.4%, respectively), with respective decreases of -56.2% and -52.1% for diabetic ketoacidosis admissions, -39.6% and -31.9% for diabetes-related coma admissions and -10.8% and -26.5% for hypoglycaemia or hyperglycaemia admissions. Prior to CGM initiation, hospitalisations mainly occurred in patients who were noncompliant with SMBG and for those with highest acquisition of SMBG; after initiation of the CGM system, these fell by 54.0% and 51.2%, respectively. Twelve-month persistence with the CGM system was 98.1%.

**Comment:** Further to the review of the previous paper, here is an example of a study that does show a tangible and cost-saving benefit of availability of CGM in France. Notably this benefit was seen in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The introduction of FreeStyle Libre flash glucose monitoring reduced admissions to hospital for extended glucose-related events in people who struggled to monitor themselves with capillary glucose monitoring, when given the opportunity to use flash monitoring after 12 months. As previously alluded to, this is not the answer for everyone with diabetes, but we will all have seen the major transformation it allows for some people.

**Reference:** *Diabetes Care*; Published online April 20, 2021

[Abstract](#)

### Independent commentary by Professor Jeremy Krebs MBChB, FRACP, MD

Professor Krebs is an Endocrinologist with a particular interest in obesity and diabetes. He trained in Endocrinology at Wellington Hospital in New Zealand and then did his doctorate with the Medical Research Council - Human Nutrition Research unit in Cambridge England. His thesis was on the impact of dietary factors on obesity and insulin resistance. Professor Krebs returned to New Zealand in 2002 to take up a consultant Endocrinology post at Wellington Hospital, where he was Clinical Leader of Endocrinology and Diabetes. He heads the research group and is Professor with the University of Otago, and former Director of the Clinical Research Diploma at Victoria University - which he established. **FOR FULL BIO [CLICK HERE](#).**

